1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	UNITED STUDENT AID FUNDS, :
4	INC., :
5	Petitioner :
6	v. : No. 08-1134
7	FRANCISCO J. ESPINOSA. :
8	x
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Tuesday, December 1, 2009
11	
12	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
13	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
14	at 11:04 a.m.
15	APPEARANCES:
16	MADELEINE C. WANSLEE, ESQ., Phoenix, Ariz.; on behalf of
17	the Petitioner.
18	TOBY J. HEYTENS, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
19	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on
20	behalf of the United States, as amicus curiae,
21	supporting the Petitioner.
22	MICHAEL J. MEEHAN, ESQ., Tucson, Ariz.; on behalf of the
23	Respondent.
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	MADELEINE C. WANSLEE, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	TOBY J. HEYTENS, ESQ.	
6	On behalf of the United States, as amicus	
7	curiae, supporting the Petitioner	17
8	MICHAEL J. MEEHAN, ESQ.	
9	On behalf of the Respondent	28
10	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
11	MADELEINE C. WANSLEE, ESQ.	
12	On behalf of the Petitioner	55
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:04 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear
4	argument next in Case 08-1134, united Student Aid Funds
5	v. Espinosa.
6	Ms. Wanslee.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF MADELEINE C. WANSLEE
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MS. WANSLEE: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
0 .	please the Court:
1	Congress has precisely delineated three
_2	types of debt in bankruptcy: Those that are
.3	dischargeable; those that are dischargeable unless the
4	creditor timely objects; and those debts that are simply
.5	not dischargeable. Student loans fall within a subset
<b>.</b> 6	of this third category. Their exception from discharge
_7	is self-executing unless the debtor proves that
-8	repayment will cause an undue hardship on the debtor and
_9	the debtor's dependents. The Ninth Circuit rewrote
20	Bankruptcy Code section 523 to reduce those three types
21	of debt down to two. Allowing debtors to discharge
22	their student loan debts by mere declaration opens the
23	door to recategorizing every category of
24	non-dischargeable debt, and that includes
25	JUSTICE SCALIA: Only only only if the

- 1 Bankruptcy Court disregards the law. I mean, it's --
- 2 it's clear that the Bankruptcy Court should not have
- 3 done what it did here. The only issue is, it having
- 4 made that mistake, can it -- can it subsequently be --
- 5 be undone in the manner that's -- that's sought here?
- They haven't reduced three to two. The
- 7 three -- the three remain three. The Bankruptcy Court
- 8 should not do this.
- 9 MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, this case turns
- 10 upon the effect of Section 1328. And the --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Before -- before we get
- 12 to that, the Ninth Circuit did say, now, bankruptcy
- 13 judges, we don't want you to -- to intermeddle in this.
- 14 So -- so the first step -- it wasn't clear to the Ninth
- 15 Circuit that bankruptcy judges should not say, now, I am
- 16 not going to let you do this until you prove hardship.
- MS. WANSLEE: Well, Justice Ginsburg, the
- 18 Ninth Circuit said that Bankruptcy Courts have no
- 19 business involving themselves in this dispute if the
- 20 creditor fails to object.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Yes.
- MS. WANSLEE: And the problem here is that
- 23 1328 specifically says that the effect of the discharge,
- 24 the discharge that every debtor is looking for in a
- 25 Chapter 13 case, that discharge shall not include

- 1 non-dischargeable debt. And the language is very, very
- 2 important, Your Honor. It prescribes the statutory
- 3 effect of the discharge order, and it says that after a
- 4 debtor completes their payments under a plan, open
- 5 quote, "the court shall grant the debtor a discharge of
- 6 all debts provided for by the plan, except any debt of
- 7 the kind specified in paragraph 8."
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It was wrong. Let's
- 9 assume --
- 10 MS. WANSLEE: Yes.
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- the circuit -- the
- 12 district court judge, the Bankruptcy Court judge, got it
- 13 wrong, legal error. Should not have been discharged, a
- 14 given. Neither -- the confirmation plan should not have
- 15 been approved, neither should the discharge order have
- 16 been entered. We will go back to what was entered
- 17 and -- and -- and the effect of that, because I'm not
- 18 sure of it -- it's an error.
- 19 How does that give you a right to undo that
- 20 judgment 7 years later -- was it 5, 6, 7 years later?
- 21 That's the question here. Why does something that's in
- 22 error become a void judgment?
- MS. WANSLEE: Justice Sotomayor, it's not
- 24 mere error. It's in fact void because of the plain
- 25 language of these particular specific statutes. They

- 1 have very precise words, very precise meanings.
- 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But so does -- most
- 3 errors committed by courts, inadvertently or otherwise,
- 4 are in contravention of some statutory command. This is
- 5 no different.
- 6 Voidness, as I've heard it described by many
- 7 others, appears to mean that the court is acting either
- 8 without jurisdiction over the people, and that's not at
- 9 issue here -- there was jurisdiction over the parties
- 10 here -- or without jurisdiction over the res. But the
- 11 Bankruptcy Court does have jurisdiction, albeit in
- 12 some -- in all circumstances it had jurisdiction over
- 13 the student debt. The issue is what could it do with
- 14 it. But this is not a case involving a lack of
- 15 jurisdiction by the court over property.
- 16 So why is this more than mere error?
- MS. WANSLEE: Because Congress's statutory
- 18 scheme must be enforced as written. And it's -- it's
- 19 unequivocal here what Congress wants. Congress has 19
- 20 categories of debts that are excepted from discharge,
- 21 important exceptions: Alimony, child support --
- JUSTICE BREYER: What's the strongest case,
- 23 I mean, that you can muster in favor of this
- 24 proposition, my question being the same as Justice
- 25 Sotomayor's? What is the strongest case where you can

- 1 find any court that said a matter is void, void, not --
- 2 not just legal error, so you can attack it 90 years
- 3 later -- it's void -- just because a lower court that
- 4 made the error didn't apply a clear statute.
- 5 Give me your strongest case?
- 6 MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, Rule 60 says that
- 7 void orders can be attacked, and the passage of time
- 8 does not transmute a void order into a valid order.
- 9 Once void --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: But I would like an answer
- 11 to my question, because I can -- I have read the
- 12 treatises, which I have in front of me, and they say
- 13 that it's void only if you show a -- the same thing that
- 14 Justice Sotomayor just said. And so, since I don't
- 15 think there is some kind of constitutional due process
- 16 error here, and there is clearly jurisdiction over the
- 17 parties, I quess you are saying there wasn't subject
- 18 matter jurisdiction, which is a little vague.
- 19 So I want to know what's the clearest case,
- 20 strongest for you, where a court has ever said that a
- 21 failure of some -- of some other court to apply the
- 22 language of a statute properly, no matter how clear, is
- 23 a lack of subject matter jurisdiction? What is your
- 24 strongest precedent? That's all I'm asking.
- MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, we did cite a

- 1 number of cases in the materials. One of them was the
- 2 Vallely case, in which that was the insurance company
- 3 case. Congress said insurance companies could not be
- 4 afforded the protections of bankruptcy. And in that
- 5 case, the president of the company, the secretary of the
- 6 company, all participated in the bankruptcy. But the
- 7 Court found that the Bankruptcy Court had no authority
- 8 to -- to issue orders and to have an insurance company
- 9 within the bankruptcy context.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But that goes back to
- 11 something more fundamental. There is no issue here that
- 12 the Court had jurisdiction over these parties, unlike
- 13 the insurance company. And there is no issue that the
- 14 Court didn't have jurisdiction over this res. They
- 15 could decide that a student loan was dischargeable.
- 16 They just had to follow certain procedures. It's a very
- 17 different set of circumstances in that case.
- 18 MS. WANSLEE: Well, Your Honor, if -- if
- 19 this order is merely voidable, then why do we have
- 20 section 523(c)? 523 is a very specific code provision:
- 21 All debts not included in 523 are as a matter of course
- 22 discharged through bankruptcy. Those that are
- 23 specifically enumerated, except for 2, 4, and 6, are
- 24 excepted from discharge. Two, 4, and 6, the creditor
- 25 must timely file objection.

- 1 Why do we have that scheme? Why do we have
- 2 the tripart ordering?
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Can a -- can a -- can a
- 4 creditor say, oh, skip it, I know this bankrupt is going
- 5 to be able to prove hardship, why go through unnecessary
- 6 expense? Can a -- can a creditor waive the hardship
- 7 determination?
- 8 MS. WANSLEE: No, Your Honor, a creditor may
- 9 not waive the undue hardship determination. 523 says
- 10 that student loans are only discharged upon a finding of
- 11 undue hardship.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So he can't -- he can't
- 13 stipulate to say, I want the deal that is being
- 14 proposed, I think I am better off getting the principal,
- 15 skipping the interest. I can't make that deal? We have
- 16 to go through this hardship procedure, whether the
- 17 creditor wants it or not?
- 18 MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, within the proper
- 19 context of an adversary proceeding in which the issue
- 20 has in fact been raised. Here there was never any --
- 21 any allegation of undue hardship, never.
- 22 JUSTICE STEVENS: Well, would the case be
- 23 different if there had been such an allegation in the
- 24 petition?
- 25 MS. WANSLEE: I think not, Your Honor,

- 1 because once again 523 requires a finding.
- 2 JUSTICE STEVENS: It would not have been
- 3 different then? What if it had been not only an
- 4 allegation but an affidavit? Would the case be
- 5 different?
- 6 MS. WANSLEE: Once again, I -- I think you
- 7 go back to the language of 1328, Your Honor.
- 8 JUSTICE STEVENS: I am kind of curious to
- 9 know what your answer to my question is.
- 10 MS. WANSLEE: I apologize.
- 11 JUSTICE STEVENS: Would the case be
- 12 different if the Petitioner had filed an affidavit of
- 13 undue hardship with the papers? Same notice, everything
- 14 else exactly the same.
- 15 MS. WANSLEE: Certainly a harder case, Your
- 16 Honor. However, I don't --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Why is it a harder case?
- 18 MS. WANSLEE: I don't think -- there would
- 19 not have been an adjudication of undue hardship,
- 20 however. Just because the debtor stated it doesn't mean
- 21 there was then --
- 22 JUSTICE STEVENS: And I say it's supported
- 23 by an affidavit.
- MS. WANSLEE: Correct, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Supported by -- would then

- 1 the case be different?
- MS. WANSLEE: No, Your Honor. There has to
- 3 be --
- 4 JUSTICE STEVENS: There has to be an
- 5 adversary hearing under your view?
- 6 MS. WANSLEE: Under our view, the creditor
- 7 is entitled to the protections of 7001 to say --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Okay. So if there's not
- 9 only an affidavit, but an offer of proof, and then
- 10 there's no answer filed and nothing in response to the
- 11 notice of the -- the lender did exactly what it did
- 12 here.
- MS. WANSLEE: No, Your Honor. I -- I -- I
- 14 don't believe that undue hardship would be established
- 15 under those facts. Our facts, of course, are a little
- 16 bit easier. There was never even an allegation of undue
- 17 hardship, much less proof.
- 18 JUSTICE STEVENS: But your legal theory
- 19 would be the same if there had been an affidavit filed
- 20 and the same -- the same response by the -- by the
- 21 company?
- 22 MS. WANSLEE: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 23 And I would -- I would note --
- 24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, what if -- what if
- 25 the creditor is sitting in the courtroom and has

- 1 actually made arguments and appeared in some other
- 2 aspects of the case? Then they come to the student loan
- 3 and the -- and it's ordered discharged without any
- 4 hearing, with the creditor is sitting there. The case
- 5 goes to judgment, there is a final decree of discharge.
- 6 Can the debtor -- pardon me. Can the creditor come in
- 7 10 years later and say, oh, this is void?
- 8 MS. WANSLEE: I think they can, Your Honor.
- 9 And I think we can look to this Court's own precedent in
- 10 the Stoll case. The Stoll case said that it's important
- 11 to know when litigation begins and when it ends. And
- 12 usually this Court's opinions talk about the ending of
- 13 litigation. What we are talking about here is the
- 14 beginning. We want to know --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, what about -- what
- 16 about my question?
- MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, at that point the
- 18 litigation has not commenced. There is no summons,
- 19 there is no --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: No, no. No, no, no. My
- 21 hypothetical is that there is -- it has commenced.
- 22 There's a big hearing. There's lots of issues. The
- 23 student loan creditor is there, actually participates in
- 24 some of the hearing on other issues. Then, while they
- 25 -- while they are still there, still represented, the

- 1 judge says: Now, I'm going to discharge the student
- 2 debt, I'm not going to have any hearing. The creditor
- 3 does nothing. Can the creditor comes in 10 years later
- 4 and says this is a void judgment?
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That is this case. The
- 6 creditor was there. The creditor put in a proof of
- 7 claim. The creditor knew that the plan gave the
- 8 creditor less than the proof of claim.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, my case is just a
- 10 little different in that the creditor is there in the
- 11 courtroom and represented.
- 12 MS. WANSLEE: Okay. A proof of claim is
- 13 merely for distribution purposes under a Chapter 13
- 14 finding. It's not for discharge purposes.
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: What about my -- what
- 16 about my question?
- MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, in your case, once
- 18 again, we -- we do believe that that is not the
- 19 appropriate constitutional notice, constitutional
- 20 practice. Notice and opportunity are just but one part
- 21 of access and due process. Due process also requires
- 22 compliance with whatever --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I think -- I think that's
- 24 an astounding -- an astounding conclusion, that you
- 25 simply are writing out the doctrine of -- of waiver

- 1 altogether.
- MS. WANSLEE: Well, Your Honor, the
- 3 exception to discharge is self-executing. And if it's
- 4 self-executing how can we waive it? If there is no
- 5 duty to object --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What provision was
- 7 this discharge under?
- 8 MS. WANSLEE: The debtor's discharge was
- 9 entered under section 1328(a)(2).
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And 1328 says: "The
- 11 court shall grant a debtor a discharge." That doesn't
- 12 sound self-executing to me.
- MS. WANSLEE: Well, but 1328 further goes on
- 14 to say: "A discharge of all debts provided for by the
- 15 plan" -- as this debt was provided for by the plan --
- 16 "except any debts of a kind specified in paragraph 8 of
- 17 section 523."
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: (a), and section
- 19 523(a) does not refer to a discharge under 1328(a). It
- 20 refers to a discharge under 1328(b).
- 21 MS. WANSLEE: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 22 1328(a)(2) is the discharge in play here, and 1328(a)(2)
- 23 brings in the discharge provisions of 523.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, no.
- 25 1328(a)(2) brings in the definition, the kind of debt

- 1 specified in 523(a). It doesn't bring in the discharge
- 2 under 523(a), which is limited to 1328(b).
- 3 MS. WANSLEE: It brings in the enumerated
- 4 debts of 523.
- 5 And I think it's important to remember that
- 6 back in 1990 student loan debts were fully dischargeable
- 7 in Chapter 13 plans. In 1992 when this plan was
- 8 proposed, Mr. Espinosa sought to claw back what Congress
- 9 had taken away 2 years earlier.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Well -- we're conceding
- 11 that they violated the statute, the bankruptcy judge.
- 12 The question is whether it's void. And void, as you
- 13 just said, was three categories: One, was there a
- 14 violation of basic due process for your client? I don't
- 15 see it. Two, did the bankruptcy judge have jurisdiction
- 16 over the parties? It seems the answer is yes. And
- 17 three, did they have subject matter jurisdiction? Which
- 18 we started by saying was vague.
- 19 So I asked you for your strongest case. You
- 20 said Vallely. I have only looked at it quickly, but
- 21 it's only four pages. And what that case seems to say
- 22 is that there is a statute which says there is
- 23 bankruptcy jurisdiction over all commercial businesses
- 24 except for insurance companies and two other categories.
- 25 This party here is an insurance company and

- 1 and therefore they can attack it later, because there
- 2 was no jurisdiction over an insurance company.
- Now if that's your strongest case, I don't
- 4 know what the others are going to say, but it seems to
- 5 me you don't have much precedental support to put this
- 6 in a category of lacking jurisdiction.
- 7 MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, we are talking
- 8 about a statutory right here, and the fact that Congress
- 9 has specifically provided that certain categories of
- 10 debts, for very important public policy reasons, are
- 11 carved out from discharge. And the reason it's void is
- 12 because it violates the plain language of the statute.
- 13 Again, even if it's provided for by the plan, the
- 14 discharge this debtor got under 1328 --
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why -- why should it be
- 16 void, looking at 1327? We have a confirmed plan. You
- 17 -- you have -- 1328 does include -- except 523(a), as
- 18 you pointed out. But 1327 says "Effect of
- 19 confirmation, " and that says "The provisions of a
- 20 confirmed plan" -- the provision here is you get 13,000,
- 21 not 17,000 -- "bind the debtor and each creditor,
- 22 whether or not the claim of such creditor is provided
- 23 for by the plan" -- which it wasn't in full here -- "and
- 24 whether or not such creditor has objected to or has
- 25 accepted or rejected the plan."

1 That seems to say at the end of the line, 2 you get that final determination confirmed, that's it. 3 That's as final as you come and whatever mistakes were 4 made on the way there, you can't look behind at the 5 confirmation. MS. WANSLEE: Your Honor, I would like to 6 7 reserve some time. 8 But, Justice Ginsburg, to answer your question, 1327 is the more general, specific -- general 9 10 provision. Statutory canons provide that the more 11 specific shall control. But there is three other quick 12 reasons I would like to give you. 13 If this case relies just on 1327, it 14 deprives the Bankruptcy Code and the rules of a coherent 15 effect. There are four other provisions implicated: 16 1322, 1325, 1328, and 523. A ruling in Mr. Espinosa's 17 favor undermines the will of Congress in this regard. 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If -- if you would 19 like to reserve time, it's probably time to wrap up. 20 MS. WANSLEE: Thank you. 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Heytens. 22 ORAL ARGUMENT OF TOBY J. HEYTENS 23 ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE, SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER 24 25 MR. HEYTENS: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it

- 1 please the Court:
- 2 Section 1328 and section 523 are best
- 3 construed as self-executing limitations on the effect of
- 4 the Bankruptcy Court's discharge order rather than as
- 5 derivatives to the Bankruptcy Court. There are two
- 6 reasons --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I don't -- sorry to
- 8 start -- stop you at the beginning, but I don't see
- 9 that. I see that in 1328(a) it says the Court shall
- 10 grant the debtor. And that is not self-executing. It's
- 11 a directive to the Court. And I see that 523(a) is
- 12 referred to later on, but only for purposes of
- 13 definition, not for purposes of discharge.
- MR. HEYTENS: Two responses to that, Mr.
- 15 Chief Justice. First, if we are looking just at the
- 16 language of 1328, which is reproduced at the page 3 of
- 17 the appendix to the blue brief, it states, as the Chief
- 18 Justice notes, that: "The court shall grant the debtor
- 19 a discharge" of certain debts. There is then a comma,
- 20 and it says "except any debt" -- now, I can see that
- 21 that language is subject to a degree of ambiguity. But
- 22 I think even that language is susceptible to being read
- 23 as a legal limitation on the effect of the discharge
- 24 order that the provision has just told the court to
- 25 grant. In other words, the reason that --

- 1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, then the key
- 2 distinction you draw in your brief is totally
- 3 meaningless. You say on page 18 that this -- the issue
- 4 is whether the provision is, quote, "framed as a
- 5 directive to the Bankruptcy Court." And here it is
- 6 framed as a directive to the Bankruptcy Court, and
- 7 therefore doesn't -- isn't self-executing.
- 8 MR. HEYTENS: Mr. Chief Justice, I think the
- 9 provision before the comma clearly is framed as a
- 10 directive to the Bankruptcy Court. What I am suggesting
- 11 is that the language after the comma is at least capable
- 12 of being read consistent with --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: There are a lot of commas.
- 14 What comma are you referring to?
- 15 MR. HEYTENS: Excuse me, Justice Scalia. I
- 16 am referring to the comma in 1328(a), the last comma
- 17 right before the (1), "except any debt."
- 18 And the reason that we think that has to be
- 19 construed as a limitation on the scope of the Bankruptcy
- 20 Court's discharge order is twofold. First and foremost,
- 21 there has been no suggestion whatsoever that there is a
- 22 different rule for Chapter 13 plans, which is covered by
- 23 1328, than there is for Chapter 7 bankruptcies, Chapter
- 24 11 bankruptcies or Chapter 12 bankruptcies. But the
- 25 consequences of saying that 1328 alone is not a

- 1 limitation, that is the consequence that that would
- 2 have.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, what about the
- 4 consequence of -- there happen to be -- I counted -- 14
- 5 different kinds of things that follow that comma,
- 6 including criminal fines, sentences. There are all
- 7 kinds of things. And is it the consequence of my
- 8 accepting your argument that anybody who is a creditor
- 9 in respect to any of those 14 things can come in at any
- 10 time and announce under Rule 60(b)(4), even if it's 10
- 11 years later, that the district court -- the Bankruptcy
- 12 Court made a mistake?
- MR. HEYTENS: Well, Justice --
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, that would be quite --
- 15 to me -- extraordinary. So I hope the answer from your
- 16 point of view must still be no.
- MR. HEYTENS: Well, Justice Breyer, it
- 18 wouldn't be under Rule 60(b)(4), because if you
- 19 understand this is a limitation on the effect of the
- 20 discharge order, the original discharge order never
- 21 covers it in the first place. And I think quite the --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Wait a moment. Wouldn't it
- 23 be in the case where you have a discharge order and it
- 24 says things in it which somebody feels fall within one
- 25 of these 13 categories? Now, are you saying that that

- 1 somebody can come back and make his argument 15 years
- 2 later, because he will say that since it falls in that
- 3 category the judgment is void insofar as this language
- 4 covers what I don't want it to cover?
- 5 MR. HEYTENS: Well, Justice Breyer, there
- 6 are three very specific categories of somebodies who
- 7 can't do that, and Congress has specifically identified
- 8 those three categories.
- 9 In 523(c), Congress specifically identified
- 10 three categories of non-dischargeable debt for which the
- onus is on the creditor to request a hearing and obtain
- 12 a determination by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So it's not -- it's not
- 14 that it is not dischargeable. It's only dischargeable
- 15 under certain conditions.
- 16 MR. HEYTENS: That is true with regard to
- 17 student loan debt, Justice --
- 18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. So -- so you
- 19 are almost begging the question, because it's possible
- 20 to argue that if a debt is not dischargeable at all
- 21 under any circumstance, your argument might have more
- 22 legs because then the court has no jurisdiction over
- 23 that property.
- MR. HEYTENS: That was --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But that's not the case

- 1 with these exceptions. They can all be discharged.
- 2 It's just a matter of whether the conditions have been
- 3 met or not.
- 4 MR. HEYTENS: That would certainly be the
- 5 argument that would be made in future cases, if the
- 6 Court were to accept Mr. Espinosa's argument. And to be
- 7 clear, the consequences of accepting it and not
- 8 accepting that limitation would be that this would not
- 9 be limited to --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, you see, it's the
- 11 same problem that is bothering us. I would like a yes
- 12 or no answer.
- MR. HEYTENS: The answer is --
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: Is it the case if somebody
- 15 feels the conditions were not met with in the 13
- 16 categories that -- or 14 -- that follow the comma, he --
- 17 you feel that they were met. The other side says, they
- 18 weren't met. I sent him a notice, but it was in a
- 19 balloon, okay. You know, was the notice a real notice,
- 20 wasn't it? People argue about that.
- 21 So in any case where you have a person who
- 22 says, no, they weren't met, and the other side says,
- 23 yes, they were met, that first person can come back
- 24 13 years later and say that the judgment was void? Is
- 25 the answer of the government yes or no?

- 1 MR. HEYTENS: With the exception of the
- 2 three categories in (c), the answer is, yes, Justice
- 3 Breyer, and we think that follows straightforwardly --
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Is there any --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: Where is (c)? You have
- 6 been talking about 523(c). I can't find it in any of
- 7 the materials.
- 8 MR. HEYTENS: Justice Scalia, we discussed
- 9 page -- 523(c) on pages 13 to 14 of our brief.
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, why don't you put it
- in an appendix if it's going to be part of your case? I
- 12 have got to search through your brief for it.
- What page in your brief.
- MR. HEYTENS: Pages 13 and 14. I apologize,
- 15 Justice Scalia.
- The language of 523(c), which I also have, I
- 17 can read it. It states: "The debtor shall be
- 18 discharged from a debt of a kind specified in paragraphs
- 19 2, 4, or 6 of subparagraph A, unless, on request of the
- 20 creditor to whom such debt is owed and after notice in a
- 21 hearing, the court determines that such debt is to be
- 22 excepted under 2, 4, or 6."
- So for those three categories of otherwise
- 24 non-dischargeable debt, Congress has specifically
- 25 provided that the onus is on the creditor.

- 1 JUSTICE STEVENS: Can I just get your answer
- 2 to a similar question I asked your colleague? If facts
- 3 of this case were changed by the -- the creditor had
- 4 come in and stipulated to the plan before the court and
- 5 explained at the time, we think it would be better to
- 6 get what money's available now rather than waiting for
- 7 the interest to be collected later or not, if they had
- 8 stipulated to it, and then the order was entered, you
- 9 would still say, 10 years later they could charge him?
- 10 MR. HEYTENS: With -- with one caveat,
- 11 Justice Stevens, which I -- I don't mean to fight the
- 12 hypothetical. I just think I need to clarify. The
- 13 creditor can certainly stipulate to the underlying facts
- 14 that the debtor alleges in support --
- 15 JUSTICE STEVENS: He stipulates to the entry
- 16 of the plan. That's all he stipulates to.
- 17 MR. HEYTENS: Justice Stevens, in that
- 18 situation, there has not been an undue hardship
- 19 determined --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: So you would have -- you
- 21 would have the same position?
- MR. HEYTENS: We would say yes, and we think
- 23 that follows naturally from this Court's decision in
- 24 Hood, where the Court clearly described 523(8)(a) as a
- 25 self-executing limitation. The Court specifically

- 1 said --
- 2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And the only way to do it
- 3 is to go through an adversary hearing with full notice,
- 4 and every -- and nobody wants to incur that expense.
- 5 This is a bankruptcy. You are trying to save assets.
- 6 The bankruptcy judge thinks this makes no sense. The
- 7 creditor says, okay. But you -- you agree with your
- 8 colleague that, under this 523 whatever, you must have
- 9 the full adversary hearing, notice, complaint, the
- 10 works?
- 11 MR. HEYTENS: Justice Ginsburg, you don't
- 12 necessarily need to have the full adversary hearing.
- 13 What you have to have is what Congress provided for in
- 14 523(a)(8). You have to have an undue hardship
- 15 determination that is made by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 16 Now, the parties can stipulate to the
- 17 underlying facts. But as this Court said in Hood, even
- 18 if the creditor does not show up for the adversary
- 19 proceedings, if the creditor completely defaults, this
- 20 Court said on pages 453 and 454 of Hood the Bankruptcy
- 21 Court still cannot discharge that debt --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: But the irony of your
- 23 position is it's in the creditor's interest to get what
- 24 is available at this time, rather than waiting 20 years,
- 25 hoping to get interest later on, and even though that's

- 1 the fact, you cannot give relief in this situation.
- 2 MR. HEYTENS: Well, Justice Stevens, the
- 3 creditor certainly does have interests. But I think the
- 4 reason Congress would provide for this regime is that
- 5 there is an important public interest at stake here,
- 6 too, which is that the Department of Education is
- 7 reinsuring all of these student loans.
- 8 And there is a powerful interest in ensuring
- 9 the integrity of the student loan system as a whole,
- 10 that, regardless of the decisions that an individual
- 11 debtor and perhaps an individual creditor are willing to
- 12 make in particular cases, Congress has an overriding
- 13 policy that student loans should not be discharged
- 14 unless there is a determination that this is the
- 15 extraordinary case, rather than the ordinary.
- Now, there is a very practical reason why
- 17 this matters. There were 374,000 Chapter 13 filings
- 18 last year. There is no such thing as a standard form
- 19 Chapter 13 plan.
- The logical consequences of affirming the
- 21 Ninth Circuit's judgment in this case is to tell every
- 22 single Chapter 13 debtor who has a student loan debt to
- 23 include a provision like this in his plan, in the hopes
- 24 that the creditor will not object and he will be able to
- 25 obtain a discharge in the absence of any finding by the

- 1 Bankruptcy Court.
- 2 It won't just be limited to Chapter 13
- 3 debtors, either. It will apply to any debtor who has
- 4 a --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: Don't Bankruptcy Courts
- 6 read the law?
- 7 MR. HEYTENS: Justice Scalia --
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: You have got to assume that
- 9 every Bankruptcy Court is going to violate the
- 10 provisions of the statute.
- 11 MR. HEYTENS: Well, first and foremost,
- 12 Justice Scalia, the Ninth Circuit has specifically
- 13 forbidden Bankruptcy Courts from doing that on pages
- 14 25a --
- JUSTICE BREYER: They may not have said it
- 16 right, but they -- but they -- that is different
- 17 problem. But the -- the -- why doesn't the Treasury
- 18 just say to people, we are not going to insure your
- 19 loans where you don't object?
- MR. HEYTENS: They -- the Department of
- 21 Treasury --
- JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Then the
- 23 government is harmless.
- MR. HEYTENS: Well, it is not harmless,
- 25 Justice Stevens -- I'm sorry, Justice Breyer, excuse

- 1 me -- because the question is who does it make sense to
- 2 put the onus on? Now, your question -- the bankruptcy
- 3 judges can do it.
- 4 There were 374,000 filings last year. There
- 5 are less than 350 bankruptcy judges in this country.
- 6 That means more than 1,000 Chapter 13 plans for every
- 7 single bankruptcy judge in the country. The idea that
- 8 bankruptcy judges are going to be policing every single
- 9 Chapter 13 plan, it's just not realistic, and I don't --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: Why, of course, they
- 11 are supposed to police --
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But the idea that they
- 13 have to have a charade hearing is -- is equally
- 14 off-putting.
- 15 MR. HEYTENS: I don't think it would be a
- 16 charade hearing, Justice Kennedy. It would be
- 17 consistent with the normal rules of civil litigation
- 18 that if a party wishes not to contest a factual issue in
- 19 a properly noticed hearing, they can make that choice.
- Thank you.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Meehan.
- ORAL ARGUMENT OF MICHAEL J. MEEHAN
- 24 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- MR. MEEHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,

- 1 and may it please the Court:
- 2 Last term, in Travelers, this Court held
- 3 that, if the plain terms of a confirmed 11 plan
- 4 unambiguously apply to a particular issue, they are
- 5 entitled to their effect. That is this case, I submit.
- Now, the case did go on to acknowledge that
- 7 there can be some situations in which the finality is
- 8 not going to be found -- it said subject matter per se
- 9 is not one of those -- but that if the court's action
- 10 was so plainly beyond its jurisdiction as to be a
- 11 manifest abuse of authority -- and this was not
- 12 necessary to the holding, I suppose, but it was
- 13 described in kind of where we would be in terms of
- 14 exceptions -- then perhaps finality would not apply.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: Do you acknowledge that
- 16 what the Bankruptcy Court did here was wrong? Do you
- 17 acknowledge that?
- 18 MR. MEEHAN: I acknowledge that it did
- 19 violate the statute. And I would --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Okay. And it should not
- 21 have done it, and future Bankruptcy Courts shouldn't do
- 22 it?
- MR. MEEHAN: I think that --
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: It makes a big difference
- 25 in how I am going to look on this case. I mean, if --

- 1 MR. MEEHAN: I would agree that that is
- 2 correct, Your Honor. The reason I hesitate is this:
- 3 Mr. Heytens said that there are, on average, 1,000
- 4 Chapter 13 plans filed per bankruptcy judge every year.
- 5 The bankruptcy judges do and are entitled to have
- 6 creditors make objections. Indeed, I think that Justice
- 7 Stevens was right that if a creditor and a debtor wanted
- 8 to come in and stipulate that there would be a discharge
- 9 of a portion of the student loan without a finding of
- 10 undue hardship, that certainly they can do so.
- 11 I don't --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Is it -- is it easy for a
- 13 bankruptcy judge to identify a particular debt as a
- 14 student loan debt? I mean, would the bankruptcy
- 15 filing -- filing show it -- you know, student loan debt?
- 16 MR. MEEHAN: As far as I know, it would.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: It would?
- 18 MR. MEEHAN: And there may be circumstances
- 19 in which there is student loan debt which is not one of
- 20 the two plans that are guaranteed by the Department of
- 21 Education because Congress has broadened it, so that may
- 22 be the case. But I think this case obviously was such a
- 23 case. And I --
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: It was the only debt.
- 25 This -- there was no other debt.

1 MR. MEEHAN: It was the only debt, yes. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Did --2 3 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Do --4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So I take it that you do 6 think the Ninth Circuit was wrong when they said: 7 Bankruptcy judges, don't stand in the middle of these 8 arrangements? Because you -- your answer was you think the bankruptcy judge does have the obligation to bring 9 10 out this requirement that -- of a hardship showing? 11 MR. MEEHAN: If I used the word 12 "obligation," perhaps I was a little imprecise. Let me 13 put it this way: Number one, I am not here to say, nor 14 have we ever said at any stage of this litigation, that 15 this plan complied with 523(a)(8). That's clear. 16 Number two, if there had been any objection 17 raised whatsoever at any time then it would obviously 18 have been wrong for the bankruptcy judge to confirm the 19 plan. 20 JUSTICE ALITO: What if there is no 21 objection? The bankruptcy judge sees a Chapter 13 plan, and it -- it provides for the discharge of student debt; 22 it covers student debt. It's labeled "student debt." 23 24 Is it improper for the bankruptcy judge to 25 say, You can't do this by this mechanism, you have to

- 1 start an adversary proceeding?
- 2 MR. MEEHAN: I do not think it is improper
- 3 for the bankruptcy judge to act that way, because under
- 4 --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I didn't hear.
- 6 MR. MEEHAN: I do not think -- I'm sorry. I
- 7 do not think it would be improper. I think under
- 8 section 105 of the code, indeed, the bankruptcy court
- 9 has what I would analogize as sort of the all-Ritz act,
- 10 which says that the bankruptcy court may act sua sponte
- 11 to --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's not enough for me,
- 13 that it's not improper for him to do it. I want you to
- 14 say that that is what he ought to do.
- 15 MR. MEEHAN: Well, Justice Scalia --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: And you are not willing to
- 17 say that. You are willing to say that bankruptcy courts
- 18 can do that if they like, but, you know, if they have a
- 19 kid that has a lot of bankruptcy debts, he has a soft
- 20 heart for student loan debts, he sees this as a student
- 21 loan debt, all right, let's give this kid a break. And
- 22 he enters -- that's okay?
- MR. MEEHAN: No, I balance your question
- 24 against Justice Stevens' hypothetical, and only in the
- 25 circumstance where it is clear either through extensive

- 1 notice, and I say waiver here, or through an actual
- 2 stipulation -- only in those circumstances would it
- 3 would be appropriate for a bankruptcy court to confirm a
- 4 plan.
- 5 And even then, I submit under section 105
- 6 that if the bankruptcy court says, I will not do so, the
- 7 bankruptcy court need not do so, and in fact -- in fact,
- 8 the bankruptcy judge here, Judge Holowell, when she
- 9 denied United Relief under Rule 16, said that she as a
- 10 bankruptcy judge would not have done so. And that is
- 11 certainly within their authority to do. And Justice
- 12 Scalia --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: So you would say it's wrong
- 14 for the bankruptcy court to do it without a waiver, but
- 15 you are leaving open if there is a clear waiver, despite
- 16 the fact that no adversary proceeding -- you know, you
- are not necessarily willing to say that the bankruptcy
- 18 court can't do that?
- 19 MR. MEEHAN: Yes, because -- let me back up
- 20 and talk perhaps a little more generally.
- 21 I mean, in litigation in general, the
- 22 parties are free to stipulate away or to decide not to
- 23 litigate an element of the claim. If they, in fact, do
- 24 that, most judges would say that's fine. Now in this
- 25 instance, again, and I don't want to be too repetitious,

- 1 but in this instance, the bankruptcy judge does have
- 2 that extra, Well, no, I read this as being something
- 3 that's too important for me to let the parties stipulate
- 4 away. That's the only reason that I don't go completely
- 5 with your hypothetical.
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: Was the Ninth Circuit
- 7 correct in saying that an attorney can't be sanctioned
- 8 under the bankruptcy rules' equivalent version of Rule
- 9 11, for attempting to sneak through a discharge of
- 10 student debt in a Chapter 13 petition?
- 11 MR. MEEHAN: Justice Alito, number one, we
- 12 don't have a case here of sneaking through. I do want
- 13 to make that point. This was clear notice.
- 14 Number two, I think the bankruptcy court --
- 15 excuse me, the Ninth Circuit was not wrong, because in
- 16 the Ninth Circuit, there was binding precedent. The --
- 17 JUSTICE ALITO: I understand that. But in
- 18 the absence of circuit -- controlling circuit precedent,
- 19 is it -- can an attorney be sanctioned for attempting to
- 20 get the discharge of student debt through a Chapter 13
- 21 petition, knowing, as I assume every bankruptcy attorney
- 22 knows, that that is not the proper way to attempt to get
- 23 discharge of a student debt, student loan?
- 24 MR. MEEHAN: I'm not able to tell you as a
- 25 matter of subtle Ninth Circuit law that that is or is

- 1 not the case.
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: I am not interested in what
- 3 Ninth Circuit law is.
- 4 MR. MEEHAN: Then, Justice Alito, I thought
- 5 you had been asking under Ninth Circuit law. You're
- 6 saying as a matter of --
- JUSTICE ALITO: No, I'm asking you -- I'm
- 8 asking you, under bankruptcy Rule 9011.
- 9 MR. MEEHAN: My position would be that if it
- 10 is up front, clear notice, in effect, a proposal that we
- 11 just don't have a Federal case out of an undue hardship
- 12 determination for \$4,000, that it does not violate
- 13 Rule 11 or 9011 to make that proposal.
- 14 If there is some sort of lack of candor or
- if there's some sort of weaseling, one might say,
- 16 perhaps. And I think it's interesting that those
- 17 courts, which have said that this is not something that
- 18 bankruptcy lawyers should do, have not, so far as I was
- 19 able to find, invoked Rule 11 or Rule 9011.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But did you -- the net
- 21 effect of this is you have taken a debt that is
- 22 non-dischargeable and put it into the category that it
- 23 is dischargeable unless the creditor objects.
- MR. MEEHAN: Yes.
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: The -- the code puts the

- onus on the debtor to raise the hardship question.
- 2 Your reading is, even if the debtor is
- 3 silent, totally silent, says nothing about hardship,
- 4 unless the creditor objects, then the discharge will be
- 5 proper; the plan can be confirmed. So you are taking a
- 6 burden that Congress has put on the debtor and switching
- 7 it to the creditor?
- 8 MR. MEEHAN: Well, Justice Ginsburg, I would
- 9 say that it doesn't shift the burden. It -- it does
- 10 shift the going forward, I suppose, in the sense of
- 11 making it an objection.
- 12 But let's remember that this is something
- 13 that would obviously have been reversed on appeal had
- 14 the --
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: But why would it not be a
- 16 sanctionable matter under Rule 11? If -- the lawyer
- 17 knows that he is supposed to make this special claim to
- 18 get this kind of discharge. He knows an ordinary claim
- 19 won't do it. He submits a paper that asks for the
- 20 ordinary discharge, that he has to sign it, and that
- 21 sign, that signature, is a -- is a certification that to
- 22 the best of his knowledge, the claims and other legal
- 23 contentions are warranted by existing law.
- So if he signs it, knowing that that isn't
- 25 the way to do it -- indeed, there is not even an

- 1 argument for doing it that way, for modifying the law --
- 2 then why isn't that a sanctionable matter under Rule 11?
- 3 MR. MEEHAN: I am not here to say absolutely
- 4 it is not, Justice Breyer.
- What I'm saying, I think, is that some of
- 6 the bankruptcy courts in some of the circuits have said,
- 7 at least without invoking Rule 11, that it is improper.
- 8 Others have not had that difficulty. I, as a lawyer who
- 9 has litigated for 39 years and is very conscious of
- 10 Rule 11, have never thought that if -- again, if it was
- 11 something that was plain and not obfuscated, that a
- 12 proposal to simply omit one element of a claim violated
- 13 Rule 11. I think it's debatable --
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: The reason I ask that is, I
- 15 think the argument on the other side is that it's so
- 16 clear in the law that this is not the way to go about it
- 17 that you have to make a separate piece of paper saying
- 18 you have special hardship; that that is so clear what
- 19 Congress wanted that four years later you can come back
- 20 and attack it, if they didn't do it. I mean, that's
- 21 basically, in my mind, their argument.
- 22 But I think a simpler way would be to say if
- 23 it's that clear, if it really is that clear, the bar
- 24 itself will enforce the rule by not knowingly deviating
- 25 from the way that Congress set it out, to which there is

- 1 no legal objection. Now, is it really -- what do you
- 2 think of that?
- 3 MR. MEEHAN: I think that -- I think that,
- 4 again, in the context of what this case -- the issue of
- 5 this case, I think that's right.
- I think -- and this Court said in Taylor v.
- 7 Freeland & Kronz that we are not going to adopt a rule
- 8 respecting finality that is going to take all the onus
- 9 of policing the bar, and noted that rule in criminal
- 10 bankruptcy fraud and the requirement that a petition be
- 11 signed and filed on a verification. And I think that's
- 12 -- I think that's absolutely right. I think that --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: If that is the price of
- 14 your winning this case, it's clearly worth it now. I am
- 15 agreeing with Justice Breyer on that point.
- 16 MR. MEEHAN: You mean that the bar may have
- 17 further scrutiny?
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: Yes. I mean, if indeed the
- 19 Court would not be willing to go along with -- with your
- 20 assertion that you can't undo it later, once it's been
- 21 done, unless it is clear that it should not be done and
- 22 that the bankruptcy judge shouldn't do it, and that the
- 23 lawyer shouldn't propose it -- if that's the condition,
- then you should accept it, right? Because you want to
- 25 win this case.

- 1 MR. MEEHAN: I would accept -- I would
- 2 accept that in any condition. I would accept that
- 3 condition on direct review or on Rule 60. Or even --
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I was going to ask whether
- 5 or not in -- on the facts of this case the client could
- 6 have voided into the final judgment, not appeal, but
- 7 then come in under Rule 60?
- 8 MR. MEEHAN: I think that they could have.
- 9 Rule 60, as it --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So then the client is not
- 11 required to -- the creditor is not required to appeal?
- MR. MEEHAN: Well, they take the risk,
- 13 Justice Kennedy, that they could fit within 60, A, B, or
- 14 C: Surprise, inadvertence, mistake, inexcusable
- 15 neglect, fraud, et cetera.
- In this instance, I think they might have
- 17 had a hard time, because at most stage --
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: All right. So I don't
- 19 think they could have -- and of course, you don't think
- 20 it's void. It could come in under 60(b) if it's void,
- 21 but you don't think it's void.
- 22 MR. MEEHAN: Well, void, under those
- 23 circumstances, I think would throw us into the due
- 24 process issue and I don't think so. No, I do not think
- 25 so.

- 1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: All right. So you have to
- 2 show mistake or surprise and you doubt that there was a
- 3 mistake or surprise here.
- 4 MR. MEEHAN: Yes.
- 5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Let me just ask this and
- 6 maybe I have bankruptcy law wrong. My -- my
- 7 understanding is that if creditors are not listed they
- 8 are not discharged, correct? I think that's right in
- 9 most cases. If you don't list the creditor, the
- 10 creditor is not discharged.
- MR. MEEHAN: Um --
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I'm -- if you're having
- 13 problems --
- MR. MEEHAN: I hesitate because rule 13 --
- 15 excuse me, section 1327 says the plan is binding upon
- 16 creditors whether or not they are listed; but generally
- 17 speaking that is correct.
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I am just wondering,
- 19 doesn't it happen all the time that creditors are not
- 20 listed and then they come in later and say the debt is
- 21 not discharge? Doesn't that happen all the time?
- MR. MEEHAN: I think it does happen
- 23 frequently.
- 24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And is -- is the rationale
- 25 that that -- that that discharge would be void as to

- 1 them, or that they are just not covered?
- 2 Suppose the bankruptcy judge makes a mistake
- 3 and lists a creditor by name as being discharged but
- 4 that creditor never received notice. Is it void?
- 5 MR. MEEHAN: I think it is. I do think it
- 6 is. I mean, bottom line, about the only thing I
- 7 submit --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, is this -- is this
- 9 case all that different, then?
- MR. MEEHAN: Well, in this case the creditor
- 11 got fulsome notice. Submitted to the jurisdiction of
- 12 the court, filed the proof of claim, accepted --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: He got notice of something
- 14 that was void.
- 15 MR. MEEHAN: No, I may be misunderstanding
- 16 your question. He was --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean that -- that --
- 18 that assumes -- he got notice of something that was
- 19 legally improper.
- MR. MEEHAN: But not void. To go -- to
- 21 proceed without the adversary proceeding, I submit is
- 22 not void, and what the Petitioners had to try to do is
- 23 to ask you to interpret the statute, whether it's 1328
- 24 or 523(a)(8), to make this some sort of a -- there is no
- 25 way you can touch it; if you didn't do the adversary it

- 1 just didn't happen kind of a thing.
- 2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Could I --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But this is in a category
- 4 that is labeled non-dischargeable. There were other
- 5 items in that -- that category, so let's take it that,
- 6 as the child support arrears --
- 7 MR. MEEHAN: Yes.
- 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Debtor says, I'll pay
- 9 half of what I owe, and this bar says, I need something
- 10 for the children, I'll take it. And then the plan is
- 11 confirmed, with only half of the child support; and then
- 12 the caretaker spouse has a second thought, and says, two
- 13 years later, I need that money, I'm going to go after
- 14 the debtor for the rest.
- 15 MR. MEEHAN: Justice Ginsburg, the child
- 16 support or domestic support has a number of additional
- 17 protections surrounding it. Number one, not only does
- 18 the petitioner for chapter 13 have to notify the
- 19 creditor of the domestic support obligation, but under
- 20 section 13.02 the trustee has to do so.
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But suppose -- this is my
- 22 hypothetical. It's right in there, and the -- the
- 23 creditor hasn't gotten all the notices -- I want what I
- 24 can get right now. So I'll make this deal.
- 25 MR. MEEHAN: There are additional notices

- 1 that would go into your hypothetical and I think it
- 2 makes a difference in this --
- 3 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Were there are supposed
- 4 to be additional notices here, there is supposed to be a
- 5 summons and complaint and all that. And let's go down
- 6 the list of the others. How about taxes?
- 7 MR. MEEHAN: Well, I think that the
- 8 principle that we are -- that we are bringing to the
- 9 Court does have broad application. And I don't want to
- 10 -- I would like to come back if I get a second to the
- 11 domestic --
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So you think any of these
- 13 things that are listed as non-dischargeable can become
- 14 dischargeable unless the creditor --
- 15 MR. MEEHAN: If the creditor does not object
- 16 and if the court does not --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Then why do we have this
- 18 third category, then? Nothing is non-dischargeable.
- MR. MEEHAN: Well, may I submit, Justice
- 20 Ginsburg, that the argument proves too much, and that is
- 21 to say that if one can wait and make a voidance argument
- 22 under rule 60(b) six years after the discharge and
- 23 12 years after the filing of the petition, and if that
- 24 can happen to anything, then what we have is that we may
- 25 as well just worry about litigating rule 60 motions

- 1 whenever they come up.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I guess I don't understand
- 4 your position, because I thought you had said that this
- 5 should not have been discharged and now -- now you
- 6 argued to Justice Ginsburg that so long as the -- as the
- 7 creditor appears they can all be discharged. Which is
- 8 it?
- 9 MR. MEEHAN: Well, Justice --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: Even if the creditor
- 11 appears it shouldn't be discharged. I thought that
- 12 that's what you had said before. But now you are saying
- 13 that so long as the creditor appears all of these are
- 14 dischargeable.
- 15 MR. MEEHAN: What had I tried -- the
- 16 position I had tried to explain -- again, I think it
- 17 balances your point with Justice Stevens' point about
- 18 waiver -- is that should, absolutely, unless there is an
- 19 affirmative waiver. But let's remember that when we
- 20 talk about "should," I think we are talking about
- 21 appellate issues. We are talking about error on appeal,
- 22 we are talking about what ought to happen. And the
- 23 reason I say that, the point about the same effect
- 24 accounting for taxes and breaches of fiduciary duty
- 25 etcetera et cetera proves too much, is that if we are

- 1 going to say that none of those is finally put to rest,
- 2 even though there was notice, even though there was
- 3 acceptance of benefits, as incurred here, even though
- 4 there was a submission to the jurisdiction of the
- 5 Bankruptcy Court, as occurred here -- even though there
- 6 was, you know, just bypassing the early, if I may say
- 7 "early" rule 60 remedies -- if we are going to say that
- 8 none of those --
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But your answer to me was
- 10 that if the creditor doesn't object, even to a
- 11 non-dischargeable debt -- if the creditor doesn't
- 12 object, it's discharged. That's what you answered, I
- 13 thought.
- MR. MEEHAN: Yes.
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And it doesn't matter
- 16 whether it's child support, taxes, or student loans,
- 17 right? Anything in the category -- you are saying the
- 18 creditor must object; otherwise it's covered by the
- 19 discharge.
- MR. MEEHAN: Well, my position, I think,
- 21 first is -- is that, as I think Justice Breyer said,
- 22 this is a -- this is a clear waiver and I think the
- 23 Court could rule on that basis. But number two, I think
- 24 if this is a judgment -- a final judgment; proper
- 25 notice, we do not have a due process concern, we do not

- 1 have a notice issue, and the creditor has had plenty of
- 2 opportunity to -- to raise the error --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I'm not sure there
- 4 was proper notice. There was not a notice that there
- 5 would be a contested hearing. Or that there would be an
- 6 adversary hearing.
- 7 MR. MEEHAN: Justice Kennedy I think --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I'm not sure there was a
- 9 proper notice.
- 10 MR. MEEHAN: I think you must look at it
- 11 this way. The notice that was given was for the
- 12 confirmation of a plan. That is the notice them that is
- 13 required under the bankruptcy rules and it was noticed
- in accordance with the bankruptcy rules.
- 15 Is it right to do it in a bankruptcy plan
- 16 confirmation? If objected to, no, it's not. If not
- 17 objected to, the plan says what the plan says and the
- 18 notice that must be given is notice of the plan.
- 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, of course that's the
- 20 problem in the case. Sometimes we decide cases that
- 21 don't make a lot of difference and that once we decide
- 22 the rule everybody will know what the rule is. But in
- 23 this case the Petitioners say that if we adopt the rule
- that the Ninth Circuit adopted, it's going to be
- 25 extremely burdensome and costly on -- on municipalities,

- 1 on -- on those who give student loans, et cetera. And
- 2 that -- and that you are just creating a -- a tremendous
- 3 burden on already overburdened systems.
- 4 MR. MEEHAN: Well, the argument that was
- 5 made by the Petitioner and its amici on that point, i
- 6 think, as pointed out in one of our amicus briefs,
- 7 overlooks the electronic notice, the instantaneous
- 8 notice, the fact that under Federal regulations, which
- 9 by the way also require the guarantee and lenders to do
- 10 these things and to exercise due diligence before they
- 11 can get repaid --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: That's what -- this is
- 13 actually -- the part that is a lack of understanding or
- 14 complete understanding on my part, is -- is how rule
- 15 60(b) works. You see, because it does -- the law does
- 16 have the three categories -- the three categories that
- 17 your friend described. And this third category is
- 18 supposed to prevent a discharge even where the creditor
- 19 doesn't object, unless certain things are filled out,
- 20 and they weren't.
- 21 So the three are there, made an objection.
- 22 If at any point the creditor had come in and objected,
- 23 not to the discharge but, you know, just said, hey, it's
- 24 the wrong form; you got it wrong. It's like an error --
- 25 they win.

- 1 MR. MEEHAN: They win.
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: But -- but they waited a
- 3 very long time.
- 4 MR. MEEHAN: They did.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: So now they have to come in
- 6 I guess under 60(b) and it must be either 60(b)(4) or
- 7 60(b)(6) --
- 8 MR. MEEHAN: And it was only --
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: -- and I take it there is a
- 10 time limit on that, and the time limit is a reasonable
- 11 time. Is that how we are supposed to do, that we have
- 12 to say they didn't file -- if fact they never filed
- 13 60 -- it's your side that filed the 60(b)(4), I
- 14 gather. So this is good --
- 15 MR. MEEHAN: They responded with a 60(b)(4).
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: -- good for stuff. So what
- is -- how is it supposed to work?
- 18 MR. MEEHAN: Well, the crux of it is, is
- 19 that there are other subparts of Rule 60, of course,
- 20 as -- as we all know that give broader potential relief
- 21 but they have time limits on them.
- 22 And there is also the provision in Section
- 23 1330 that allows revocation for fraud that also has a
- 24 time limit upon it. But Rule 60(b)(4) does not have
- 25 that time limit on it, but Rule 60(b)(4), which is the

- 1 only basis upon which Petitioners sought relief in the
- 2 Bankruptcy Court, and they made that very clear in the
- 3 district court, the only basis would be if it is void,
- 4 and that means one of two things:
- Number one is the due process issue, which
- 6 we haven't spent a whole lot of time taking about, but I
- 7 submit is clearly is not viable because they had actual
- 8 notice, and this Court has held, and so have --
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That's not -- their
- 10 position is that 528(a)(8) -- or 523(a)(8) makes this --
- 11 it puts it outside the discharge order. The discharge
- 12 order does not cover this kind of debt. It doesn't
- 13 discharge student -- student loans absent of hardship
- 14 determination.
- 15 So, what they are saying is the discharge
- 16 discharged other things but it could not discharge this
- 17 particular debt, so it's not discharged.
- 18 MR. MEEHAN: To be precise, if I may,
- 19 1328(a) said "The discharge shall not," and then defines
- 20 the categories. And as Chief Justice Roberts said --
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, may I interrupt
- 22 for just one moment, because I -- there is something
- 23 needling at me that I do need an answer to the --
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And I'd like him to
- 25 answer the question that I asked him first.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. 2 MR. MEEHAN: 1328 is the operative statute 3 for the discharge of a Chapter 13, and it says, shall 4 discharge except for those categories that are listed. 5 The argument has been made that there is some significance to the 523 which says "does not 6 7 discharge." But as -- as the Chief Justice observed, 8 that applies only to the subpart B in 1328, which is discharges even if the plan has not been fully performed 9 10 by the debtor. And the -- and this is not that 11 circumstance. 12 So the "does not" language is simply not 13 applicable to our case, because it is not a 1328(b) 14 discharge that we are involved with. 15 And so, Justice Ginsburg, I -- I think your 16 question, again, comes back to an argument of law, of 17 procedure that would be dealt with on any appeal or 18 perhaps on the -- on the more expansive subparts of Rule 19 60 if they had been properly brought. But I do not see -- I have always had a hard time grappling with the 20 21 argument that somehow the fact that a statutory requirement was not followed falls into the category of 22 23 acting so plainly beyond the court's jurisdiction that 24 its action was a manifested abuse of discretion, and I 25 think that's what you would have to --

1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: On the practicality point, 2 you talk about electronic notice. I suppose that that -- that the creditors for student loans could have 3 4 the automatic electronic thing where they say, we insist 5 on a hardship hearing. But that doesn't solve the problem, because they then have to go back and see 6 7 whether or not there was a hardship hearing in the case. 8 So that -- that means they have -- they have -- they have to -- they have to inquire into every 9 10 case whether or not the proper hearing has been made. 11 MR. MEEHAN: Well, Justice Kennedy, they 12 have to inquire, in any event, because the Federal 13 regulations require them to, number one, determine that 14 there was a filing; and number two, even before there is 15 an adversary proceeding to make its own assessment, the 16 lender or the guarantee -- the guarantor to make its own 17 assessment whether it is likely that there would be an 18 undue hardship in the given case and there are other 19 circumstances which are set forth in the -- in an amicus 20 brief --21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Are you -- they can't ask 22 for a hearing unless there is a reasonable doubt to 23 believe that there is no undue hardship? 24 MR. MEEHAN: No, I don't mean to say that. 25 What I mean to say is that -- is that I submit that the

- 1 hardship argument is a little bit overblown because they
- 2 have the obligations, even though they say they don't
- 3 have -- even an obligation but open the envelope, they
- 4 have an obligation to look at the petition, to see what
- 5 the situation is, to see whether there is likely an
- 6 undue hardship.
- 7 They don't have to forebear from making an
- 8 objection to a plan unless they have a basis to
- 9 determine that there was undue hardship.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, if they had
- 11 come to the court at the time the discharge order was
- 12 about to be entered and said we object, there has been
- 13 no undue hardship found, would the court have been
- 14 obligated to alter the plan at that point? The
- 15 confirmed plan proposed a discharge, but at the time
- 16 that the discharge order was being entered, there is an
- 17 objection.
- 18 MR. MEEHAN: Justice --
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would have
- 20 happened?
- 21 MR. MEEHAN: Justice Sotomayor, I think that
- the result would not change, because at that point we
- 23 have a long final plan, and we do have -- you know, the
- 24 issue that is real important that we don't spend a lot
- 25 of time talking about because it is so ingrained in us

- 1 is finality. There are Chapter 13 --
- 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So that's the question.
- 3 What's final? Is it the plan that is final or is it the
- 4 discharge order that is final?
- 5 MR. MEEHAN: It's the plan, I submit,
- 6 because the plan is what determines what is going to
- 7 happen. The discharge is giving the release after the
- 8 plan has been fully --
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, but -- but here we
- 10 have a discharge order that on its face appeared to be
- 11 proper. It excepted out the student loan from the
- 12 discharge.
- MR. MEEHAN: The original issue --
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Your other -- the other
- 15 side has sort of given up on that as a --
- MR. MEEHAN: They have.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- as a point, because
- 18 that interestingly enough to me, would have been the
- 19 stronger due process argument, whether the Ninth Circuit
- 20 and the district court could have amended that discharge
- 21 order illegally to accept something it didn't accept
- 22 that shouldn't have been excepted to start with. But
- 23 that argument seems to have been put aside.
- MR. MEEHAN: It definitely was put aside.
- 25 It was not raised.

- 1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. But, so --
- 2 it might have been the stronger due process argument.
- 3 But having put that aside, then your belief is that
- 4 there is no point in time between the confirmation of
- 5 the plan and the discharge order in which a party can
- 6 object for -- to an error, except as permitted by 60(b)
- 7 and 1330?
- MR. MEEHAN: Well, and as permitted by just
- 9 simply appealing the order. They could have done that.
- 10 They could have appealed. They could have done 60 --
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Which order could they
- 12 have -- they could not have appealed --
- 13 MR. MEEHAN: The confirmation -- the
- 14 confirmation -- the -- the order confirming the plan.
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: They could not have
- 16 appealed the discharge order?
- MR. MEEHAN: I can't answer that one. I
- 18 don't know that they could have appealed it.
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, going back to
- 20 Justice Kennedy's point, I mean, some people are listed
- 21 in discharge orders that were never discussed in the
- 22 plan, or otherwise some people are excluded that should
- 23 have been included, those people can't appeal?
- MR. MEEHAN: Well, I am not prepared to say
- 25 that they cannot. I certainly, if I were representing

- 1 them, would try -- try it, but it's just something that
- 2 I have not seen and in working up this case I am not
- 3 familiar with it, but it may very well be an appealable
- 4 order.
- 5 My point is simply that the bottom line, we
- 6 have something here that is very final, there are
- 7 literally billions of dollars of disbursements made by
- 8 Chapter 13 trustees in reliance on the these plans, and
- 9 it would be very, very upsetting to the bankruptcy
- 10 jurisdiction, exceedingly upsetting to make a very broad
- 11 exception to finality.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Ms. Wanslee, you have three minutes
- 14 remaining.
- 15 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF MADELEINE C. WANSLEE
- 16 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- MS. WANSLEE: Briefly just on this last
- 18 point. No one said whatsoever to bring this matter back
- 19 before the Bankruptcy Court. Mr. Espinosa is still free
- 20 to come back to Bankruptcy Court and argue that he has
- 21 got an undue hardship.
- The distributions that are made through a
- 23 Chapter 13 plans or a matter of statutory right, every
- 24 single adversary -- every single plan that had this
- 25 illegal plan language could come back and it would not

- 1 upset anything, nothing would change, no distribution
- 2 whatsoever. I think that's an important point.
- To be clear, the chief judge's -- Chief
- 4 Justice's question, 523 by its terms brings in 1328(b),
- 5 which is a different kind of discharge.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right.
- 7 MS. WANSLEE: But 1328(a)(2) specifically
- 8 then incorporates 523. It is applicable. It is --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I -- my -- I'm
- 10 not -- I'm not sure it does. It refers back to 523(a)
- 11 to define the debt. I don't think it incorporates
- 12 all -- all of 523. It's simply referring to the kind of
- 13 debt that should not be discharged.
- MS. WANSLEE: Certainly 1328(a)(2) provides
- 15 the laundry list of exceptions to discharge. And that's
- 16 the point, is that student loans are within that 19
- 17 categories of debt that Congress said are excepted from
- 18 discharge.
- 19 In this case there was really no basis to
- 20 appeal the discharge order. It was proper. It was
- 21 appropriate. It excepted the student debt, and that is
- 22 found at page 46 of the record, Your Honor.
- In terms what happened when the matter was
- 24 on its limited remand. It was a very limited remand,
- 25 and this issue was already teed up to the Ninth Circuit.

- 1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you go back to the
- 2 fundamental part of my question to your adversary. The
- 3 plan order included a discharge of the student
- 4 interest -- of the interest on the student loan.
- 5 MS. WANSLEE: It did not so specifically
- 6 state, Your Honor.
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It just proposed a
- 8 discharge of a certain amount lesser than the principal
- 9 plus interest.
- 10 MS. WANSLEE: It had a predicate of
- 11 discharge of interest, no predicate of undue hardship.
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Right. That's the plan.
- 13 And then you have a discharge order. And the two are
- 14 not congruent. So what's the final judgment?
- 15 MS. WANSLEE: The final judgment, Your
- 16 Honor, is the effect of 1328. Think of bankruptcy as --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, no, is it the
- 18 confirmation order or is it the discharge order of a
- 19 different judgment? What -- what controls, and what
- 20 were you --
- 21 MS. WANSLEE: The controlling order here is
- 22 the discharge order. And the reason why is because
- 23 bankruptcy is a continuum of events all leading to the
- 24 discharge. The discharge is the goal. That's what the
- 25 debtor wants. But only Congress can tell a debtor what

1	he gets to discharge.
2	JUSTICE KENNEDY: What does the notice of
3	the time of the notice of appeal run from?
4	MS. WANSLEE: Pardon me?
5	JUSTICE KENNEDY: What does the time for the
6	notice of appeal run from, the discharge order.
7	MS. WANSLEE: Well, for the plan itself,
8	from the plan entry. Now once again, we never got a
9	copy of the plan entry.
10	JUSTICE KENNEDY: Now now, just in the
11	in the general run of a bankruptcy, how do you calculate
12	when you have to file your appeal, from the time of the
13	discharge order?
14	MS. WANSLEE: Well, if we were going to
15	appeal from from the plan, it would be the plan or
16	but there is no reason to appeal from the plan, because
17	once again, 1328 excepts our debt specifically from
18	discharge through the plan.
19	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
20	The case is submitted.
21	(Whereupon, at 12:06 p.m., the case in the
22	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
23	
24	
25	

	affirming 26:20	appealing 54:9	assessment	18:4,5 19:5,6
A	afforded 8:4	APPEARAN	51:15,17	19:10,19 20:11
able 9:5 26:24	agree 25:7 30:1	1:15	assets 25:5	21:12 25:5,6
34:24 35:19	agreeing 38:15	appeared 12:1	Assistant 1:18	25:15,20 27:1
above-entitled	<b>Aid</b> 1:3 3:4	53:10	assume 5:9 27:8	27:5,9,13 28:2
1:12 58:22	<b>albeit</b> 6:11		34:21	28:5,7,8 29:16
absence 26:25		<b>appears</b> 6:7 44:7 44:11,13	assumes 41:18	, ,
34:18	<b>Alimony</b> 6:21 <b>Alito</b> 31:20 34:6	,		29:21 30:4,5
<b>absent</b> 49:13	34:11,17 35:2	appellate 44:21	astounding 13:24,24	30:13,14 31:7 31:9,18,21,24
absolutely 37:3		<b>appendix</b> 18:17 23:11	attack 7:2 16:1	, , ,
38:12 44:18	35:4,7		37:20	32:3,8,10,17
<b>abuse</b> 29:11	allegation 9:21	applicable 50:13		32:19 33:3,6,7
50:24	9:23 10:4	56:8	attacked 7:7	33:8,10,14,17
accept 22:6	11:16	application 43:9	attempt 34:22	34:1,8,14,21
38:24 39:1,2,2	alleges 24:14	applies 50:8	attempting 34:9	35:8,18 37:6
53:21,21	Allowing 3:21	apply 7:4,21	34:19	38:10,22 40:6
acceptance 45:3	allows 48:23	27:3 29:4,14	attorney 34:7,19	41:2 45:5
accepted 16:25	all-Ritz 32:9	appropriate	34:21	46:13,14,15
41:12	alter 52:14	13:19 33:3	authority 8:7	49:2 55:9,19
accepting 20:8	altogether 14:1	56:21	29:11 33:11	55:20 57:16,23
22:7,8	ambiguity 18:21	approved 5:15	automatic 51:4	58:11
access 13:21	amended 53:20	argue 21:20	available 24:6	bar 37:23 38:9
accounting	amici 47:5	22:20 55:20	25:24	38:16 42:9
44:24	amicus 1:20 2:6	argued 44:6	average 30:3	basic 15:14
acknowledge	17:24 47:6	argument 1:13	<b>a.m</b> 1:14 3:2	basically 37:21
29:6,15,17,18	51:19	2:2,10 3:4,7	B	<b>basis</b> 45:23 49:1
act 32:3,9,10	amount 57:8	17:22 20:8		49:3 52:8
acting 6:7 50:23	analogize 32:9	21:1,21 22:5,6	<b>B</b> 39:13 50:8	56:19
action 29:9	announce 20:10	28:23 37:1,15	back 5:16 8:10	begging 21:19
50:24	answer 7:10	37:21 43:20,21	10:7 15:6,8	beginning 12:14
actual 33:1 49:7	10:9 11:10	47:4 50:5,16	21:1 22:23	18:8
additional 42:16	15:16 17:8	50:21 52:1	33:19 37:19	begins 12:11
42:25 43:4	20:15 22:12,13	53:19,23 54:2	43:10 50:16	<b>behalf</b> 1:16,20
adjudication	22:25 23:2	55:15	51:6 54:19	1:22 2:4,6,9,12
10:19	24:1 31:8 45:9	arguments 12:1	55:18,20,25	3:8 17:23
<b>adopt</b> 38:7	49:23,25 54:17	<b>Ariz</b> 1:16,22	56:10 57:1	28:24 55:16
46:23	answered 45:12	arrangements	<b>balance</b> 32:23	belief 54:3
adopted 46:24	anybody 20:8	31:8	balances 44:17	believe 11:14
adversary 9:19	apologize 10:10	arrears 42:6	balloon 22:19	13:18 51:23
11:5 25:3,9,12	23:14	aside 53:23,24	bankrupt 9:4	benefits 45:3
25:18 32:1	appeal 36:13	54:3	bankruptcies	best 18:2 36:22
33:16 41:21,25	39:6,11 44:21	<b>asked</b> 15:19	19:23,24,24	<b>better</b> 9:14 24:5
46:6 51:15	50:17 54:23	24:2 49:25	bankruptcy	<b>beyond</b> 29:10
55:24 57:2	56:20 58:3,6	<b>asking</b> 7:24 35:5	3:12,20 4:1,2,7	50:23
affidavit 10:4,12	58:12,15,16	35:7,8	4:12,15,18	<b>big</b> 12:22 29:24
10:23 11:9,19	appealable 55:3	asks 36:19	5:12 6:11 8:4,6	billions 55:7
affirmative	appealed 54:10	aspects 12:2	8:7,9,22 15:11	<b>bind</b> 16:21
44:19	54:12,16,18	assertion 38:20	15:15,23 17:14	<b>binding</b> 34:16
		5.0		

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
40:15	<b>canons</b> 17:10	22:4 24:13	50:11	19:16 20:5
<b>bit</b> 11:16 52:1	capable 19:11	26:3 30:10	circumstances	22:16
<b>blue</b> 18:17	caretaker 42:12	33:11 54:25	6:12 8:17	command 6:4
bothering 22:11	carved 16:11	56:14	30:18 33:2	<b>commas</b> 19:13
<b>bottom</b> 41:6	case 3:4 4:9,25	certification	39:23 51:19	commenced
55:5	6:14,22,25 7:5	36:21	cite 7:25	12:18,21
breaches 44:24	7:19 8:2,3,5,17	<b>cetera</b> 39:15	<b>civil</b> 28:17	commercial
<b>break</b> 32:21	9:22 10:4,11	44:25 47:1	<b>claim</b> 13:7,8,12	15:23
Breyer 6:22	10:15,17 11:1	change 52:22	16:22 33:23	committed 6:3
7:10 15:10	12:2,4,10,10	56:1	36:17,18 37:12	companies 8:3
20:3,14,17,22	13:5,9,17	changed 24:3	41:12	15:24
21:5 22:10,14	15:19,21 16:3	chapter 4:25	<b>claims</b> 36:22	<b>company</b> 8:2,5,6
23:3,4 27:15	17:13 20:23	13:13 15:7	clarify 24:12	8:8,13 11:21
27:22,25 36:15	21:25 22:14,21	19:22,23,23,24	<b>claw</b> 15:8	15:25 16:2
37:4,14 38:15	23:11 24:3	26:17,19,22	<b>clear</b> 4:2,14 7:4	complaint 25:9
45:21 47:12	26:15,21 29:5	27:2 28:6,9	7:22 22:7	43:5
48:2,5,9,16	29:6,25 30:22	30:4 31:21	31:15 32:25	complete 47:14
<b>brief</b> 18:17 19:2	30:22,23 34:12	34:10,20 42:18	33:15 34:13	completely
23:9,12,13	35:1,11 38:4,5	50:3 53:1 55:8	35:10 37:16,18	25:19 34:4
51:20	38:14,25 39:5	55:23	37:23,23 38:21	completes 5:4
Briefly 55:17	41:9,10 46:20	charade 28:13	45:22 49:2	compliance
briefs 47:6	46:23 50:13	28:16	56:3	13:22
<b>bring</b> 15:1 31:9	51:7,10,18	charge 24:9	clearest 7:19	complied 31:15
55:18	55:2 56:19	<b>chief</b> 3:3,9 14:6	<b>clearly</b> 7:16 19:9	conceding 15:10
bringing 43:8	58:20,21	14:10,18,24	24:24 38:14	concern 45:25
<b>brings</b> 14:23,25	cases 8:1 22:5	17:18,21,25	49:7	conclusion
15:3 56:4	26:12 40:9	18:7,15,17	<b>client</b> 15:14 39:5	13:24
<b>broad</b> 43:9	46:20	19:1,8 28:21	39:10	condition 38:23
55:10	categories 6:20	28:25 31:2,4	<b>code</b> 3:20 8:20	39:2,3
broadened	15:13,24 16:9	49:20 50:7	17:14 32:8	conditions 21:15
30:21	20:25 21:6,8	55:12 56:3,3,6	35:25	22:2,15
broader 48:20	21:10 22:16	56:9 58:19	coherent 17:14	confirm 31:18
brought 50:19	23:2,23 47:16	<b>child</b> 6:21 42:6	colleague 24:2	33:3
<b>burden</b> 36:6,9	47:16 49:20	42:11,15 45:16	25:8	confirmation
47:3	50:4 56:17	children 42:10	collected 24:7	5:14 16:19
burdensome	<b>category</b> 3:16,23	choice 28:19	<b>come</b> 12:2,6	17:5 46:12,16
46:25	16:6 21:3	<b>circuit</b> 3:19 4:12	17:3 20:9 21:1	54:4,13,14
business 4:19	35:22 42:3,5	4:15,18 5:11	22:23 24:4	57:18
businesses 15:23	43:18 45:17	27:12 31:6	30:8 37:19	confirmed 16:16
bypassing 45:6	47:17 50:22	34:6,15,16,18	39:7,20 40:20	16:20 17:2
	cause 3:18	34:18,25 35:3	43:10 44:1	29:3 36:5
<u>C</u>	caveat 24:10	35:5 46:24	47:22 48:5	42:11 52:15
c 1:16 2:1,3,11	certain 8:16	53:19 56:25	52:11 55:20,25	confirming
3:1,7 23:2,5	16:9 18:19	circuits 37:6	comes 13:3	54:14
39:14 55:15	21:15 47:19	Circuit's 26:21	50:16	Congress 3:11
calculate 58:11	57:8	circumstance	<b>comma</b> 18:19	6:19,19 8:3
candor 35:14	certainly 10:15	21:21 32:25	19:9,11,14,16	15:8 16:8
	l		l	

		_	_	_
17:17 21:7,9	39:19 46:19	24:13 25:7,18	18:10,18 23:17	determined
23:24 25:13	48:19	25:19 26:3,11	24:14 26:11,22	24:19
26:4,12 30:21	<b>court</b> 1:1,13	26:24 30:7	27:3 30:7 36:1	determines
36:6 37:19,25	3:10 4:1,2,7	35:23 36:4,7	36:2,6 42:8,14	23:21 53:6
56:17 57:25	5:5,12,12 6:7	39:11 40:9,10	50:10 57:25,25	deviating 37:24
Congress's 6:17	6:11,15 7:1,3	41:3,4,10	debtors 3:21	difference 29:24
congruent 57:14	7:20,21 8:7,7	42:19,23 43:14	27:3	43:2 46:21
conscious 37:9	8:12,14 14:11	43:15 44:7,10	debtor's 3:19	different 6:5
consequence	18:1,5,9,11,18	44:13 45:10,11	14:8	8:17 9:23 10:3
20:1,4,7	18:24 19:5,6	45:18 46:1	<b>debts</b> 3:14,22	10:5,12 11:1
consequences	19:10 20:11,12	47:18,22	5:6 6:20 8:21	13:10 19:22
19:25 22:7	21:12,22 22:6	creditors 30:6	14:14,16 15:4	20:5 27:16
26:20	23:21 24:4,24	40:7,16,19	15:6 16:10	41:9 56:5
consistent 19:12	24:25 25:15,17	51:3	18:19 32:19,20	57:19
28:17	25:20,21 27:1	creditor's 25:23	<b>December</b> 1:10	difficulty 37:8
constitutional	27:9 29:1,2,16	criminal 20:6	decide 8:15	diligence 47:10
7:15 13:19,19	32:8,10 33:3,6	38:9	33:22 46:20,21	direct 39:3
construed 18:3	33:7,14,18	crux 48:18	decision 24:23	directive 18:11
19:19	34:14 38:6,19	<b>curiae</b> 1:20 2:7	decisions 26:10	19:5,6,10
contentions	41:12 43:9,16	17:24	declaration 3:22	disbursements
36:23	45:5,23 49:2,3	curious 10:8	decree 12:5	55:7
contest 28:18	49:8 52:11,13		defaults 25:19	discharge 3:16
contested 46:5	53:20 55:19,20	D	<b>define</b> 56:11	3:21 4:23,24
<b>context</b> 8:9 9:19	courtroom	<b>D</b> 3:1	defines 49:19	4:25 5:3,5,15
38:4	11:25 13:11	<b>deal</b> 9:13,15	definitely 53:24	6:20 8:24 12:5
continuum	courts 4:18 6:3	42:24	definition 14:25	13:1,14 14:3,7
57:23	27:5,13 29:21	<b>dealt</b> 50:17	18:13	14:8,11,14,19
contravention	32:17 35:17	debatable 37:13	degree 18:21	14:20,22,23
6:4	37:6	<b>debt</b> 3:12,21,24	delineated 3:11	15:1 16:11,14
control 17:11	court's 12:9,12	5:1,6 6:13 13:2	denied 33:9	18:4,13,19,23
controlling	18:4 19:20	14:15,25 18:20	Department	19:20 20:20,20
34:18 57:21	24:23 29:9	19:17 21:10,17	1:19 26:6	20:23 25:21
controls 57:19	50:23	21:20 23:18,20	27:20 30:20	26:25 30:8
<b>copy</b> 58:9	<b>cover</b> 21:4 49:12	23:21,24 25:21	dependents 3:19	31:22 34:9,20
correct 10:24	covered 19:22	26:22 30:13,14	deprives 17:14	34:23 36:4,18
11:22 14:21	41:1 45:18	30:15,19,24,25	derivatives 18:5	36:20 40:21,25
30:2 34:7 40:8	covers 20:21	31:1,22,23,23	described 6:6	43:22 45:19
40:17	21:4 31:23	32:21 34:10,20	24:24 29:13	47:18,23 49:11
costly 46:25	creating 47:2	34:23 35:21	47:17	49:11,13,15,16
counsel 28:21	creditor 3:14	40:20 45:11	despite 33:15	49:19 50:3,4,7
44:2 49:21	4:20 8:24 9:4,6	49:12,17 56:11	determination	50:14 52:11,15
52:10 55:12	9:8,17 11:6,25	56:13,17,21	9:7,9 17:2	52:16 53:4,7
58:19	12:4,6,23 13:2	58:17	21:12 25:15	53:10,12,20
counted 20:4	13:3,6,6,7,8,10	<b>debtor</b> 3:17,18	26:14 35:12	54:5,16,21
<b>country</b> 28:5,7	16:21,22,24	4:24 5:4,5 10:20 12:6	49:14	56:5,15,18,20
course 8:21	20:8 21:11		determine 51:13	57:3,8,11,13
11:15 28:10	23:20,25 24:3	14:11 16:14,21	52:9	57:18,22,24,24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	<u> </u>			
58:1,6,13,18	earlier 15:9	established	facts 11:15,15	<b>fit</b> 39:13
dischargeable	early 45:6,7	11:14	24:2,13 25:17	<b>follow</b> 8:16 20:5
3:13,13,15	easier 11:16	et 39:15 44:25	39:5	22:16
8:15 15:6	easy 30:12	47:1	factual 28:18	followed 50:22
21:14,14,20	<b>Education</b> 26:6	etcetera 44:25	<b>fails</b> 4:20	follows 23:3
35:23 43:14	30:21	event 51:12	failure 7:21	24:23
44:14	<b>effect</b> 4:10,23	<b>events</b> 57:23	<b>fall</b> 3:15 20:24	forbidden 27:13
discharged 5:13	5:3,17 16:18	everybody	<b>falls</b> 21:2 50:22	forebear 52:7
8:22 9:10 12:3	17:15 18:3,23	46:22	familiar 55:3	foremost 19:20
22:1 23:18	20:19 29:5	exactly 10:14	<b>far</b> 30:16 35:18	27:11
26:13 40:8,10	35:10,21 44:23	11:11	<b>favor</b> 6:23 17:17	form 26:18
41:3 44:5,7,11	57:16	exceedingly	Federal 35:11	47:24
45:12 49:16,17	<b>either</b> 6:7 27:3	55:10	47:8 51:12	<b>forth</b> 51:19
56:13	32:25 48:6	excepted 6:20	<b>feel</b> 22:17	<b>forward</b> 36:10
discharges 50:9	electronic 47:7	8:24 23:22	feels 20:24 22:15	<b>found</b> 8:7 29:8
discretion 50:24	51:2,4	53:11,22 56:17	fiduciary 44:24	52:13 56:22
discussed 23:8	element 33:23	56:21	<b>fight</b> 24:11	<b>four</b> 15:21 17:15
54:21	37:12	exception 3:16	<b>file</b> 8:25 48:12	37:19
dispute 4:19	ends 12:11	14:3 23:1	58:12	<b>framed</b> 19:4,6,9
disregards 4:1	enforce 37:24	55:11	<b>filed</b> 10:12 11:10	FRANCISCO
distinction 19:2	enforced 6:18	exceptions 6:21	11:19 30:4	1:7
distribution	ensuring 26:8	22:1 29:14	38:11 41:12	<b>fraud</b> 38:10
13:13 56:1	<b>entered</b> 5:16,16	56:15	48:12,13	39:15 48:23
distributions	14:9 24:8	excepts 58:17	<b>filing</b> 30:15,15	free 33:22 55:19
55:22	52:12,16	excluded 54:22	43:23 51:14	Freeland 38:7
district 5:12	<b>enters</b> 32:22	excuse 19:15	<b>filings</b> 26:17	frequently
20:11 49:3	entitled 11:7	27:25 34:15	28:4	40:23
53:20	29:5 30:5	40:15	<b>filled</b> 47:19	friend 47:17
doctrine 13:25	<b>entry</b> 24:15 58:8	exercise 47:10	<b>final</b> 12:5 17:2,3	<b>front</b> 7:12 35:10
<b>doing</b> 27:13 37:1	58:9	existing 36:23	39:6 45:24	<b>full</b> 16:23 25:3,9
dollars 55:7	enumerated	expansive 50:18	52:23 53:3,3,4	25:12
domestic 42:16	8:23 15:3	<b>expense</b> 9:6 25:4	55:6 57:14,15	<b>fully</b> 15:6 50:9
42:19 43:11	envelope 52:3	explain 44:16	<b>finality</b> 29:7,14	53:8
<b>door</b> 3:23	equally 28:13	explained 24:5	38:8 53:1	fulsome 41:11
<b>doubt</b> 40:2	equivalent 34:8	extensive 32:25	55:11	fundamental
51:22	<b>error</b> 5:13,18,22	<b>extra</b> 34:2	finally 45:1	8:11 57:2
<b>draw</b> 19:2	5:24 6:16 7:2,4	extraordinary	<b>find</b> 7:1 23:6	<b>Funds</b> 1:3 3:4
due 7:15 13:21	7:16 44:21	20:15 26:15	35:19	further 14:13
13:21 15:14	46:2 47:24	extremely 46:25	finding 9:10	38:17
39:23 45:25	54:6		10:1 13:14	future 22:5
47:10 49:5	errors 6:3	<b>F</b>	26:25 30:9	29:21
53:19 54:2	<b>Espinosa</b> 1:7 3:5	<b>face</b> 53:10	<b>fine</b> 33:24	
<b>duty</b> 14:5 44:24	15:8 55:19	<b>fact</b> 5:24 9:20	<b>fines</b> 20:6	G
<b>D.C</b> 1:9,19	Espinosa's	16:8 26:1 33:7	<b>first</b> 4:14 18:15	<b>G</b> 3:1
	17:16 22:6	33:7,16,23	19:20 20:21	gather 48:14
E	<b>ESQ</b> 1:16,18,22	47:8 48:12	22:23 27:11	general 1:19
<b>E</b> 2:1 3:1,1	2:3,5,8,11	50:21	45:21 49:25	17:9,9 33:21

	I	I	I	I
58:11	guarantee 47:9	2:5 17:21,22	41:19	invoking 37:7
generally 33:20	51:16	17:25 18:14	inadvertence	involved 50:14
40:16	guaranteed	19:8,15 20:13	39:14	involving 4:19
getting 9:14	30:20	20:17 21:5,16	inadvertently	6:14
Ginsburg 4:11	guarantor 51:16	21:24 22:4,13	6:3	irony 25:22
4:17,21 9:3,12	guess 7:17 44:3	23:1,8,14	include 4:25	<b>issue</b> 4:3 6:9,13
13:5 16:15	48:6	24:10,17,22	16:17 26:23	8:8,11,13 9:19
17:8 25:2,11		25:11 26:2	included 8:21	19:3 28:18
30:24 31:3,5	<u>H</u>	27:7,11,20,24	54:23 57:3	29:4 38:4
35:20,25 36:8	half 42:9,11	28:15 30:3	includes 3:24	39:24 46:1
42:3,8,15,21	happen 20:4	holding 29:12	including 20:6	49:5 52:24
43:3,12,17,20	40:19,21,22	Holowell 33:8	incorporates	53:13 56:25
44:6 45:9,15	42:1 43:24	<b>Honor</b> 4:9 5:2	56:8,11	issues 12:22,24
49:9,24 50:15	44:22 53:7	7:6,25 8:18 9:8	incur 25:4	44:21
<b>give</b> 5:19 7:5	happened 52:20	9:18,25 10:7	incurred 45:3	items 42:5
17:12 26:1	56:23	10:16,24 11:2	individual 26:10	T
32:21 47:1	hard 39:17	11:13,22 12:8	26:11	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}_{1}\mathbf{J}_{1}\mathbf{J}_{2}\mathbf{J}_{2}\mathbf{J}_{3}\mathbf{J}_{5}\mathbf{J}_{5}}$
48:20	50:20	12:17 13:17	inexcusable	J 1:7,18,22 2:5,8
<b>given</b> 5:14 46:11	harder 10:15,17	14:2,21 16:7	39:14	17:22 28:23
46:18 51:18	hardship 3:18	17:6 30:2	ingrained 52:25	judge 5:12,12
53:15	4:16 9:5,6,9,11	56:22 57:6,16	<b>inquire</b> 51:9,12	13:1 15:11,15
<b>giving</b> 53:7	9:16,21 10:13	Hood 24:24	insist 51:4	25:6 28:7 30:4
<b>go</b> 5:16 9:5,16	10:19 11:14,17	25:17,20	insofar 21:3	30:13 31:9,18
10:7 25:3 29:6	24:18 25:14	hope 20:15	instance 33:25	31:21,24 32:3
34:4 37:16	30:10 31:10	<b>hopes</b> 26:23	34:1 39:16	33:8,8,10 34:1
38:19 41:20	35:11 36:1,3	<b>hoping</b> 25:25	instantaneous	38:22 41:2
42:13 43:1,5	37:18 49:13	hypothetical	47:7	judges 4:13,15
51:6 57:1	51:5,7,18,23	12:21 24:12	insurance 8:2,3	28:3,5,8 30:5
<b>goal</b> 57:24	52:1,6,9,13	32:24 34:5	8:8,13 15:24	31:7 33:24
goes 8:10 12:5	55:21 57:11	42:22 43:1	15:25 16:2	judge's 56:3
14:13	harmless 27:23	т	<b>insure</b> 27:18	judgment 5:20
<b>going</b> 4:16 9:4	27:24		integrity 26:9	5:22 12:5 13:4
13:1,2 16:4	hear 3:3 32:5	idea 28:7,12	interest 9:15	21:3 22:24
23:11 27:9,18	heard 6:6	identified 21:7,9	24:7 25:23,25	26:21 39:6
28:8 29:8,25	hearing 11:5	identify 30:13	26:5,8 57:4,4,9	45:24,24 57:14
36:10 38:7,8	12:4,22,24	illegal 55:25	57:11	57:15,19
39:4 42:13	13:2 21:11	illegally 53:21	interested 35:2	jurisdiction 6:8
45:1,7 46:24	23:21 25:3,9	implicated	interesting	6:9,10,11,12
53:6 54:19	25:12 28:13,16	17:15	35:16	6:15 7:16,18
58:14	28:19 46:5,6	important 5:2	interestingly	7:23 8:12,14
<b>good</b> 48:14,16	51:5,7,10,22	6:21 12:10	53:18	15:15,17,23
<b>gotten</b> 42:23	heart 32:20	15:5 16:10	interests 26:3	16:2,6 21:22
government	held 29:2 49:8	26:5 34:3	intermeddle	29:10 41:11
22:25 27:23	hesitate 30:2	52:24 56:2	4:13	45:4 50:23
grant 5:5 14:11	40:14	<b>imprecise</b> 31:12	interpret 41:23	55:10
18:10,18,25	hey 47:23	improper 31:24	interrupt 49:21	<b>Justice</b> 1:19 3:3
grappling 50:20	Heytens 1:18	32:2,7,13 37:7	invoked 35:19	3:9,25 4:11,17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

4:21 5:8,11,23	51:11,21 52:10	knows 34:22	56:24,24	MADELEINE
6:2,22,24 7:10	52:18,19,21	36:17,18	limits 48:21	1:16 2:3,11 3:7
7:14 8:10 9:3	53:2,9,14,17	30.17,18 Kronz 38:7	line 17:1 41:6	55:15
9:12,22 10:2,8	, , ,	Kruliz 56.7	55:5	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	54:1,11,15,19 54:20 55:12	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	list 40:9 43:6	making 36:11
10:11,17,22,25		labeled 31:23		52:7
11:4,8,18,24	56:6,9 57:1,7	42:4	56:15	manifest 29:11
12:15,20 13:5	57:12,17 58:2	lack 6:14 7:23	listed 40:7,16,20	manifested
13:9,15,23	58:5,10,19	35:14 47:13	43:13 50:4	50:24
14:6,10,18,24	Justice's 56:4	lacking 16:6	54:20	manner 4:5
15:10 16:15	K	language 5:1,25	lists 41:3	materials 8:1
17:8,18,21,25	Kennedy 11:24	7:22 10:7	literally 55:7	23:7
18:7,15,18	12:15,20 13:9	16:12 18:16,21	litigate 33:23	matter 1:12 7:1
19:1,8,13,15	13:15,23 28:12	18:22 19:11	litigated 37:9	7:18,22,23
20:3,13,14,17	28:16 32:5	21:3 23:16	litigating 43:25	8:21 15:17
20:22 21:5,13	39:4,10,13,18	50:12 55:25	litigation 12:11	22:2 29:8
21:17,18,25	40:1,5,12,18	laundry 56:15	12:13,18 28:17	34:25 35:6
22:10,14 23:2	40:24 41:8,13	law 4:1 27:6	31:14 33:21	36:16 37:2
23:4,5,8,10,15	41:17 42:2	34:25 35:3,5	little 7:18 11:15	45:15 55:18,23
24:1,11,15,17	46:3,7,8,19	36:23 37:1,16	13:10 31:12	56:23 58:22
24:20 25:2,11	51:1,11,21	40:6 47:15	33:20 52:1	matters 26:17
25:22 26:2	58:2,5,10	50:16	loan 3:22 8:15	mean 4:1 6:7,23
27:5,7,8,12,15	Kennedy's	lawyer 36:16	12:2,23 15:6	10:20 24:11
27:22,25,25	54:20	37:8 38:23	21:17 26:9,22	29:25 30:14
28:10,12,16,21	key 19:1	lawyers 35:18	30:9,14,15,19	33:21 37:20
28:25 29:15,20	<b>kid</b> 32:19,21	leading 57:23	32:20,21 34:23	38:16,18 41:6
29:24 30:6,12	kind 5:.7 7:15	leaving 37.25	53:11 57:4	41:17 51:24,25
30:17,24 31:2	10:8 14:16,25	legal 5:13 7:2	loans 3:15 9:10	54:20
31:3,4,5,20	23:18 29:13	11:18 18:23	26:7,13 27:19	meaningless
32:5,12,15,16	36:18 42:1	36:22 38:1	45:16 47:1	19:3
32:24 33:11,13	49:12 56:5,12	legally 41:19	49:13 51:3	meanings 6:1
34:6,11,17	49.12 30.3,12 kinds 20:5,7	legs 21:22	56:16	means 28:6 49:4
35:2,4,7,20,25	, and the second	lender 11:11	logical 26:20	51:8
36:8,15 37:4	knew 13:7 know 7:19 9:4	51:16	long 44:6,13	mechanism
37:14 38:13,15	10:9 12:11,14	lenders 47:9	48:3 52:23	31:25
38:18 39:4,10	16:4 22:19	lesser 57:8	look 12:9 17:4	Meehan 1:22
39:13,18 40:1		let's 5:8 32:21	29:25 46:10	2:8 28:22,23
40:5,12,18,24	30:15,16 32:18 33:16 45:6	36:12 42:5	52:4	28:25 29:18,23
41:8,13,17			looked 15:20	30:1,16,18
42:2,3,8,15,21	46:22 47:23	43:5 44:19	looking 4:24	31:1,11 32:2,6
43:3,12,17,19	48:20 52:23	limit 48:10,10	16:16 18:15	32:15,23 33:19
44:2,3,6,9,10	54:18	48:24,25	lot 19:13 32:19	34:11,24 35:4
44:17 45:9,15	<b>knowing</b> 34:21	limitation 18:23	46:21 49:6	35:9,24 36:8
45:21 46:3,7,8	36:24	19:19 20:1,19	52:24	37:3 38:3,16
46:19 47:12	knowingly	22:8 24:25	lots 12:22	39:1,8,12,22
48:2,5,9,16	37:24	limitations 18:3	lower 7:3	40:4,11,14,22
49:9,20,21,24	knowledge	limited 15:2		41:5,10,15,20
50:1,7,15 51:1	36:22	22:9 27:2	171	42:7,15,25
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

42.7.15.10	1 420 15	02121		1.57
43:7,15,19	neglect 39:15	O 2:1 3:1	opportunity	paragraph 5:7
44:9,15 45:14	neither 5:14,15	obfuscated	13:20 46:2	14:16
45:20 46:7,10	net 35:20	37:11	oral 1:12 2:2 3:7	paragraphs
47:4 48:1,4,8	never 9:20,21	<b>object</b> 4:20 14:5	17:22 28:23	23:18
48:15,18 49:18	11:16 20:20	26:24 27:19	<b>order</b> 5:3,15 7:8	pardon 12:6
50:2 51:11,24	37:10 41:4	43:15 45:10,12	7:8 8:19 18:4	58:4
52:18,21 53:5	48:12 54:21	45:18 47:19	18:24 19:20	part 13:20 23:11
53:13,16,24	58:8	52:12 54:6	20:20,20,23	47:13,14 57:2
54:8,13,17,24	<b>Ninth</b> 3:19 4:12	objected 16:24	24:8 49:11,12	participated 8:6
mere 3:22 5:24	4:14,18 26:21	46:16,17 47:22	52:11,16 53:4	participates
6:16	27:12 31:6	objection 8:25	53:10,21 54:5	12:23
merely 8:19	34:6,15,16,25	31:16,21 36:11	54:9,11,14,16	particular 5:25
13:13	35:3,5 46:24	38:1 47:21	55:4 56:20	26:12 29:4
met 22:3,15,17	53:19 56:25	52:8,17	57:3,13,18,18	30:13 49:17
22:18,22,23	non-discharge	objections 30:6	57:21,22 58:6	<b>parties</b> 6:9 7:17
MICHAEL 1:22	3:24 5:1 21:10	objects 3:14	58:13	8:12 15:16
2:8 28:23	23:24 35:22	35:23 36:4	ordered 12:3	25:16 33:22
middle 31:7	42:4 43:13,18	obligated 52:14	ordering 9:2	34:3
<b>mind</b> 37:21	45:11	obligation 31:9	<b>orders</b> 7:7 8:8	<b>party</b> 15:25
minutes 55:13	<b>normal</b> 28:17	31:12 42:19	54:21	28:18 54:5
mistake 4:4	<b>note</b> 11:23	52:3,4	ordinary 26:15	passage 7:7
20:12 39:14	noted 38:9	obligations 52:2	36:18,20	<b>pay</b> 42:8
40:2,3 41:2	<b>notes</b> 18:18	observed 50:7	original 20:20	payments 5:4
mistakes 17:3	notice 10:13	<b>obtain</b> 21:11	53:13	<b>people</b> 6:8 22:20
misunderstan	11:11 13:19,20	26:25	ought 32:14	27:18 54:20,22
41:15	22:18,19,19	obviously 30:22	44:22	54:23
modifying 37:1	23:20 25:3,9	31:17 36:13	outside 49:11	performed 50:9
<b>moment</b> 20:22	33:1 34:13	occurred 45:5	overblown 52:1	permitted 54:6
49:22	35:10 41:4,11	<b>offer</b> 11:9	overburdened	54:8
money 42:13	41:13,18 45:2	off-putting	47:3	<b>person</b> 22:21,23
<b>money's</b> 24:6	45:25 46:1,4,4	28:14	overlooks 47:7	petition 9:24
motions 43:25	46:9,11,12,18	<b>oh</b> 9:4 12:7	overriding	34:10,21 38:10
municipalities	46:18 47:7,8	okay 11:8 13:12	26:12	43:23 52:4
46:25	49:8 51:2 58:2	22:19 25:7	owe 42:9	petitioner 1:5,17
muster 6:23	58:3,6	29:20 32:22	owed 23:20	1:21 2:4,7,12
	noticed 28:19	<b>omit</b> 37:12		3:8 10:12
N	46:13	once 7:9 10:1,6	P	17:24 42:18
N 2:1,1 3:1	notices 42:23,25	13:17 38:20	<b>P</b> 3:1	47:5 55:16
name 41:3	43:4	46:21 58:8,17	page 2:2 18:16	Petitioners
naturally 24:23	<b>notify</b> 42:18	onus 21:11	19:3 23:9,13	41:22 46:23
necessarily	number 8:1	23:25 28:2	56:22	49:1
25:12 33:17	31:13,16 34:11	36:1 38:8	pages 15:21 23:9	Phoenix 1:16
necessary 29:12	34:14 42:16,17	open 5:4 33:15	23:14 25:20	<b>piece</b> 37:17
need 24:12	45:23 49:5	52:3	27:13	<b>place</b> 20:21
25:12 33:7	51:13,14	<b>opens</b> 3:22	<b>paper</b> 36:19	<b>plain</b> 5:24 16:12
42:9,13 49:23		operative 50:2	37:17	29:3 37:11
needling 49:23	0	opinions 12:12	papers 10:13	<b>plainly</b> 29:10

		]	l	1
50:23	possible 21:19	45:24 46:4,9	Q	<b>refer</b> 14:19
<b>plan</b> 5:4,6,14	potential 48:20	51:10 53:11	question 5:21	referred 18:12
13:7 14:15,15	powerful 26:8	56:20	6:24 7:11 10:9	referring 19:14
15:7 16:13,16	practical 26:16	properly 7:22	12:16 13:16	19:16 56:12
16:20,23,25	practicality 51:1	28:19 50:19	15:12 17:9	<b>refers</b> 14:20
24:4,16 26:19	practice 13:20	property 6:15	21:19 24:2	56:10
26:23 28:9	precedent 7:24	21:23	28:1,2 32:23	regard 17:17
29:3 31:15,19	12:9 34:16,18	proposal 35:10	36:1 41:16	21:16
31:21 33:4	precedental	35:13 37:12	49:25 50:16	regardless 26:10
36:5 40:15	16:5	propose 38:23	53:2 56:4 57:2	regime 26:4
42:10 46:12,15	precise 6:1,1	proposed 9:14	quick 17:11	regulations 47:8
46:17,17,18	49:18	15:8 52:15	quickly 15:20	51:13
50:9 52:8,14	precisely 3:11	57:7	quite 20:14,21	reinsuring 26:7
52:15,23 53:3	predicate 57:10	proposition 6:24	<b>quote</b> 5:5 19:4	rejected 16:25
53:5,6,8 54:5	57:11	protections 8:4		release 53:7
54:14,22 55:24	prepared 54:24	11:7 42:17	R	reliance 55:8
55:25 57:3,12	prescribes 5:2	<b>prove</b> 4:16 9:5	<b>R</b> 3:1	<b>relief</b> 26:1 33:9
58:7,8,9,15,15	president 8:5	proves 3:17	raise 36:1 46:2	48:20 49:1
58:16,18	prevent 47:18	43:20 44:25	raised 9:20	<b>relies</b> 17:13
<b>plans</b> 15:7 19:22	<b>price</b> 38:13	provide 17:10	31:17 53:25	remain 4:7
28:6 30:4,20	principal 9:14	26:4	rationale 40:24	remaining 55:14
55:8,23	57:8	provided 5:6	read 7:11 18:22	<b>remand</b> 56:24
<b>play</b> 14:22	principle 43:8	14:14,15 16:9	19:12 23:17	56:24
<b>please</b> 3:10 18:1	probably 17:19	16:13,22 23:25	27:6 34:2	remedies 45:7
29:1	problem 4:22	25:13	reading 36:2	remember 15:5
plenty 46:1	22:11 27:17	provides 31:22	real 22:19 52:24	36:12 44:19
<b>plus</b> 57:9	46:20 51:6	56:14	realistic 28:9	<b>repaid</b> 47:11
<b>point</b> 12:17	problems 40:13	provision 8:20	really 37:23	repayment 3:18
20:16 34:13	procedure 9:16	14:6 16:20	38:1 56:19	repetitious
38:15 44:17,17	50:17	17:10 18:24	<b>reason</b> 16:11	33:25
44:23 47:5,22	procedures 8:16	19:4,9 26:23	18:25 19:18	represented
51:1 52:14,22	proceed 41:21	48:22	26:4,16 30:2	12:25 13:11
53:17 54:4,20	proceeding 9:19	provisions 14:23	34:4 37:14	representing
55:5,18 56:2	32:1 33:16	16:19 17:15	44:23 57:22	54:25
56:16	41:21 51:15	27:10	58:16	reproduced
pointed 16:18	proceedings	<b>public</b> 16:10	reasonable	18:16
47:6	25:19	26:5	48:10 51:22	request 21:11
<b>police</b> 28:11	process 7:15	purposes 13:13	reasons 16:10	23:19
policing 28:8	13:21,21 15:14	13:14 18:12,13	17:12 18:6	require 47:9
38:9	39:24 45:25	<b>put</b> 13:6 16:5	REBUTTAL	51:13
<b>policy</b> 16:10	49:5 53:19	23:10 28:2	2:10 55:15	required 39:11
26:13	54:2	31:13 35:22	recategorizing	39:11 46:13
portion 30:9	<b>proof</b> 11:9,17	36:6 45:1	3:23	requirement
position 24:21	13:6,8,12	53:23,24 54:3	received 41:4	31:10 38:10
25:23 35:9	41:12	<b>puts</b> 35:25 49:11	record 56:22	50:22
44:4,16 45:20	proper 9:18	<b>p.m</b> 58:21	reduce 3:20	requires 10:1
49:10	34:22 36:5		reduced 4:6	13:21

	1	1		
res 6:10 8:14	50:18	secretary 8:5	sitting 11:25	58:17
reserve 17:7,19	rules 17:14	section 3:20	12:4	specified 5:7
respect 20:9	28:17 34:8	4:10 8:20 14:9	situation 24:18	14:16 15:1
respecting 38:8	46:13,14	14:17,18 18:2	26:1 52:5	23:18
responded	<b>ruling</b> 17:16	18:2 32:8 33:5	situations 29:7	spend 52:24
48:15	run 58:3,6,11	40:15 42:20	six 43:22	<b>spent</b> 49:6
Respondent		48:22	<b>skip</b> 9:4	<b>sponte</b> 32:10
1:23 2:9 28:24	S	see 15:15 18:8,9	skipping 9:15	<b>spouse</b> 42:12
response 11:10	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:1	18:11,20 22:10	<b>sneak</b> 34:9	<b>stage</b> 31:14
11:20	sanctionable	47:15 50:20	sneaking 34:12	39:17
responses 18:14	36:16 37:2	51:6 52:4,5	<b>soft</b> 32:19	<b>stake</b> 26:5
rest 42:14 45:1	sanctioned 34:7	seen 55:2	Solicitor 1:18	<b>stand</b> 31:7
result 52:22	34:19	sees 31:21 32:20	<b>solve</b> 51:5	standard 26:18
reversed 36:13	save 25:5	self-executing	somebodies 21:6	<b>start</b> 18:8 32:1
review 39:3	saying 7:17	3:17 14:3,4,12	somebody 20:24	53:22
revocation	15:18 19:25	18:3,10 19:7	21:1 22:14	started 15:18
48:23	20:25 34:7	24:25	<b>sorry</b> 18:7 27:25	state 57:6
rewrote 3:19	35:6 37:5,17	sense 25:6 28:1	31:4 32:6 50:1	<b>stated</b> 10:20
<b>right</b> 5:19 16:8	44:12 45:17	36:10	<b>sort</b> 32:9 35:14	states 1:1,13,20
19:17 21:18	49:15	sent 22:18	35:15 41:24	2:6 17:23
23:4 27:16,22	says 4:23 5:3 7:6	sentences 20:6	53:15	18:17 23:17
30:7 32:21	9:9 13:1,4	separate 37:17	Sotomayor 5:8	<b>statute</b> 7:4,22
38:5,12,24	14:10 15:22	set 8:17 37:25	5:11,23 6:2	15:11,22 16:12
39:18 40:1,8	16:18,19 18:9	51:19	7:14 8:10	27:10 29:19
42:22,24 45:17	18:20 20:24	<b>shift</b> 36:9,10	21:13,18,25	41:23 50:2
46:15 54:1	22:17,22,22	<b>show</b> 7:13 25:18	44:2 49:21	statutes 5:25
55:23 56:6	25:7 32:10	30:15 40:2	50:1 52:10,19	statutory 5:2 6:4
57:12	33:6 36:3	showing 31:10	52:21 53:2,9	6:17 16:8
risk 39:12	40:15 42:8,9	side 22:17,22	53:14,17 54:1	17:10 50:21
<b>Roberts</b> 3:3 14:6	42:12 46:17,17	37:15 48:13	54:11,15,19	55:23
14:10,18,24	50:3,6	53:15	57:1,7,12,17	<b>step</b> 4:14
17:18,21 18:7	Scalia 3:25	<b>sign</b> 36:20,21	Sotomayor's	Stevens 9:22
19:1 28:21	19:13,15 23:5	signature 36:21	6:25	10:2,8,11,17
31:2,4 49:20	23:8,10,15	<b>signed</b> 38:11	<b>sought</b> 4:5 15:8	10:22,25 11:4
55:12 56:6,9	27:5,7,8,12	significance	49:1	11:8,18 24:1
58:19	28:10 29:15,20	50:6	<b>sound</b> 14:12	24:11,15,17,20
rule 7:6 19:22	29:24 30:12,17	<b>signs</b> 36:24	speaking 40:17	25:22 26:2
20:10,18 33:9	32:12,15,16	<b>silent</b> 36:3,3	special 36:17	27:25 30:7
34:8 35:8,13	33:12,13 38:13	similar 24:2	37:18	32:24 44:17
35:19,19 36:16	38:18 44:3,10	simpler 37:22	specific 5:25	stipulate 9:13
37:2,7,10,13	scheme 6:18 9:1	<b>simply</b> 3:14	8:20 17:9,11	24:13 25:16
37:24 38:7,9	scope 19:19	13:25 37:12	21:6	30:8 33:22
39:3,7,9 40:14	scrutiny 38:17	50:12 54:9	specifically 4:23	34:3
43:22,25 45:7	se 29:8	55:5 56:12	8:23 16:9 21:7	stipulated 24:4
45:23 46:22,22	search 23:12	single 26:22	21:9 23:24	24:8
46:23 47:14	second 42:12	28:7,8 55:24	24:25 27:12	stipulates 24:15
48:19,24,25	43:10	55:24	56:7 57:5	24:16
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Stoll 12:10,10     suggestion     tell 26:21 34:24     15:17 17:11     Um 40:11       straightforwa     23:3     43:5     term 29:2     23:23 47:16,16     Um 40:11       stronger 53:19     support 6:21     56:4,23     throw 39:23     throw 39:23     throw 39:23       strongest 6:22     42:6,11,16,16     28:20,21,25     17:19 20:10     24:13 25:17       6:25 7:5,20,24     42:19 45:16     55:12 58:19     24:5 25:24     modernines       student 1:3 3:4     10:25     thing 7:13 26:18     40:19,21 48:3     understand       3:15,22 6:13     supporting 1:21     41:6 42:1 51:4     48:10,10,11,21     20:19 34:17       4:16 21:17     36:10 41:2     47:10,19 49:4     52:25 54:4     40:7 47:13,14       26:7,9,13,22     30:9,14,15,19     31:22,23,23     36:17 43:3,4     9:25 10:6,18     TOBY 1:18 2:5     TOBY 1:18 2:5     under 3:18 9:9       31:22,020 34:10     47:18 48:11,17     12:8,9 13:23     17:22     19:11,21 10:13	stipulation 33:2	19:10	teed 56:25	4:6,7,7,7 15:13	types 3:12,20
stop 18:8     19:21     straightforwa     19:21     treme 29:2     21:68,10 23:2     Um40:11       23:3     43:5     terms 29:3,13     throw 39:23     time 7:7 17:7,19     underlying       strongest 6:22     6:25 7:5,20,24     42:19 45:16     55:12 58:19     24:52 52:24     underlying       student 13:3:4     3:15,22 6:13     supported 10:22     thing 7:13 26:18     40:19,21 48:3     40:19,21 48:3       8:15 9:10 12:2     2:7 17:24     thing 7:13 26:18     40:19,21 48:3     40:19,21 48:3       3:15,22 6:13     supporting 1:21     2:7 17:24     thing 7:13 26:18     40:19,21 48:3     40:19,21 48:3       3:15,22 6:13     supporting 1:21     41:6 42:1 51:4     48:10,10,11,21     44:3 25:17       26:7,9,13,22     30:9,14,15,19     35:17 33:1     50:10     52:25 54:4     58:3,5,12     mindo 5:19 38:20       31:20,23,23     32:20,20 34:10     47:18 48:11,17     13:23,15:5     15:18 46:3,8     18:22 19:8,18     56:10     20:21 23:3     10:22     17:22     91:11,21 10:13     10:19 11:14,16     24:18 25:14     24:18 25:14     30:10 33:15     10:19 11:14,16 <th>_</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	_				
straightforwa     summons 12:18     terms 29:3, 13     23:23 47:16,16     Um 40:11     umambiguously     29:4     umambiguously     29:4     unambiguously     29:4     underlying     29:3     29:4     underlying     29:3     underlying     29:4     17:1     17:1     17:1     17:1     17:1     17:1     18:1	,	00			U
23:3   stronger 53:19   54:2   16:5 24:14   42:6,11,16,16   54:2   42:6,11,16,16   55:4,23   5	_				<b>Um</b> 40:11
stronger 53:19 54:2     support 6:21 16:5 24:14 16:5 24:14 42:6,11,16,16 62:5 7:5,20,24 15:19 16:3 student 1:3 3:4 3:15,22 6:13 8:15 9:10 12:2 12:23 13:1 8:15 9:10 12:2 27:7 17:24 suppose 29:12 2:7 17:24 suppose 29:12 2:7 17:24 suppose 29:12 2:7 17:24 suppose 29:12 2:7 17:24 suppose 29:12 30:9,14,15,19 36:10 41:2 42:15 1:2 suppose 28:11 36:10 41:2 49:16 50:20 52:11,15 50:20 52:11,15 50:20 52:11,15 50:10 34:20,23,23 45:16 47:1 40:2,3 32:0,20 34:10 34:20,23,23 45:16 47:1 40:2,3 32:0,20 34:10 34:20,23,23 45:16 47:1 suppose 28:14 40:2,3 32:0,20 34:10 34:20,23,23 45:16 47:1 suppose 29:12 57:3,4 sure 5:18 46:3,8 56:10 sus 32:10 suppose 29:12 57:3,4 suppose 29:13 30:6,2 suppose 29:12 57:1,1 suppose 29:13 30:6,2 suppose 29:12 57:1,1 s	O			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	unambiguously
54:2     16:5 24:14     Thank 17:20     time 7:7 17:7,19     underlying 24:13 25:17       6:25 7:5,20,24     42:61,11,16,16     42:19 45:16     55:12 58:19     17:19 20:10     24:13 25:17       3:15,22 6:13     supporting 1:21     theory 11:18     thing 7:13 26:18     48:10,10,11,21     understand     20:19 34:17       15:6 21:17     36:10 41:2     42:21 51:2     49:16     58:3,5,12     understand     20:19 34:17       36:79,13,22     30:9,14,15,19     supposed 28:11     43:10,19,49:4     58:3,5,12     46:18     59:25 54:4     44:3     40:19,21 48:3     40:74 77:13,19     40:19 34:17     40:19,21 48:3     48:10,10,11,21     44:3     40:19,21 48:3     48:10,10,11,21     44:3     40:19,21 48:3     48:10,10,11,21     44:3     44:3     47:10,19,49:4     50:20 52:11,15     50:20 52:11,15     50:20 52:11,15     50:20 52:11,15     50:20 52:11,15     50:20 52:11,15     50:20 52:11,15     44:3     40:74 71:3,14     47:10,19,49:4     50:20 52:11,15     42:18     42:15     49:16     40:18     40:17 47:13,14     40:18     40:19,41     40:18     40:18     40:19,41 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td></th<>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
strongest 6:22     42:6,11,16,16     28:20,21,25     17:19 20:10     24:13 25:17     24:13 25:17     24:13 25:17     undermines     17:17 understand     20:21 48:3 48:10,10,11,21     18:15 9:10 12:2     42:67,9 13.2 2     42:21 5:12     48:0,10,11,21     44:20,25 49:6     44:20,21,21     44:20,21,23     47:10,19 49:4     48:24,25 49:6     40:9,21 48:3     48:10,10,11,21     44:3     44:20,21,23     47:10,19 49:4     48:24,25 49:6     44:20,21,23     47:10,19 49:4     48:24,25 49:6     44:3     40:10,11,21     44:3     44:3     44:3     48:10,10,11,21     44:3     44:3     44:3     44:20,21,22     49:16     49:14     48:24,25 49:6     44:3     44:3     44:20,21,22     49:16     44:10,19,49:4     48:24,25 49:6     44:3     44:3     44:24     47:10,19 49:4     48:24,25 49:6     44:3     44:3     49:11,13     49:11,13     49:11,13     49:11,13     49:11,13     49:12,13     49:12,13     49:13,13     49:	0		·		underlying
6:25 7:5,20,24   15:19 16:3   10:25   15:19 16:3   15:25 13:14   16:25   15:19 16:3   17:17   17:17   17:18	= :			· ·	
15:19 16:3   student 1:3 3:4   3:15,22 6:13   8:15 9:10 12:2   2:7 17:24   12:23 13:1   15:6 21:17   36:10 41:2   42:21 51:2   30:9,14,15,19   31:22,23,23   32:20,20 34:10   47:18 48:11,17   34:20,23,23   32:20,20 34:10   47:18 48:11,17   34:20,23,23   32:20,20 34:10   47:18 48:11,17   36:16 47:1   49:16 57:3,4   49:16 57:3,4   40:2,3   53:11 56:16,21 57:3,4   40:2,3   53:11 56:16,21 57:3,4   5xubret 3:15   submits 36:19   submitted 41:11 58:20,22   subparagraph 23:19 submitted 41:11 58:20,22 subparagraph 23:19 subpart 50:8 subpart 50:8 subpart 50:8 subsequently 4:4 4:4 subtle 3:425 subtle 3:425   45:16 subtle 3:425   45:16 subtle 3:425 subtle 3:425   45:16 subtle 3:425	0		, ,		undermines
student 1:3 3:4     10:25     thing 7:13 26:18     40:19,21 48:3     understand       3:15,22 6:13     2:7 17:24     41:6 42:1 51:4     48:10,10,11,21     20:19 34:17       15:6 21:17     36:10 41:2     47:10,19 49:4     48:24,25 49:6     44:3       20:9,14,15,19     36:17 43:3,4     49:16     58:3,5,12     undos:19 38:20       31:22,23,23     30:9,14,15,19     36:17 43:3,4     9:25 10:6,18     58:3,5,12     undos:18 9:9       32:20,20 34:10     34:18 46:1,17     12:8,9 13:23     17:22     told 18:24     undos:18 9:9       49:13,13 51:3     56:10     20:21 23:3     told 18:24     24:18 25:14       49:2,3     surprise 39:14     40:2,3     20:23 28:15     told 18:24     10:19 11:14,16       53:15 7 18:21     sure 5:18 46:3,8     surerounding     29:23 30:6,22     transmute 7:8     52:21,3 55:21       53:17 18:21     switching 36:6     37:15,22 38:2     transmute 7:8     52:18,23 52:6       53:5 41:7,21     43:19 49:7     31:6,8 32:2,67     42:17     31:6,8 32:2,67     42:17     41:20 5:1.1     46:19,10 41:3     47:2					17:17
3:15,22 6:13   Supporting 1:21   41:6 42:1 51:4   things 20:5,7,9   48:24,25 49:6   think 7:15 9:14   things 20:5,7,9   48:24,25 49:6   think 7:15 9:14   things 20:5,7,9   48:24,25 49:6   40:7 47:13,14   things 20:5,7,9   48:24,25 49:6   think 7:15 9:14   things 20:5,7,9   48:24,25 49:6   think 7:15 9:14   things 20:24 43:13   50:20 52:11,15					understand
8:15 9:10 12:2     2:7 17:24     things 20:5,7,9     48:24,25 49:6     44:3       15:6 21:17     36:10 41:2     47:10,19 49:4     50:20 52:11,15     30:7 47:13,14       26:7,9,13,22     42:21 51:2     49:16     58:3,5,12     timely 3:14 8:25     40:7 47:13,14       30:9,14,15,19     30:9,14,15,19     36:17 43:3,4     49:16     58:3,5,12     timely 3:14 8:25     thought 3:18 9:9       32:20,20,34:10     37:18 48:11,17     34:20,23,23     Supreme 1:1,13     13:23 15:5     told 18:24     totally 19:2 36:3     touch 41:25     tundue 3:18 9:9     9:11,21 10:13       49:13,13 51:3     56:10     20:21 23:3     touch 41:25     24:18 25:14     30:10 35:11     24:5,12,22     27:21     30:10 35:11     51:18,23 52:6     52:9,13 55:21     51:18,23 52:6     52:9,13 55:21     57:11     30:10 35:11     51:18,23 52:6     52:9,13 55:21     57:11     30:10 35:11     57:11     30:10 35:11     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     57:11     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     47:2     <				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20:19 34:17
12:23 13:1   13:20 14:2   20:24 43:13   50:20 52:11,15   52:25 54:4   40:7 47:13,14   40:7 47:13,14   40:7 47:13,14   40:7 47:13,14   40:8,14   58:3,5,12   10:8,9 13:23   13:22,23,23   45:16 47:1   40:2,3   42:15 18 46:3,8   49:13,13 51:3   56:10   56:10   50:18 46:3,8   49:13,13 51:3   56:10   50:18 46:3,8   50:20 123:3   56:10   40:2,3   50:10   50:10   30:10   30:11   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:14   40:2,3   50:16   42:17   40:2,3   50:16   42:17   50:18   40:14   40:14   50:19   30:10   35:11   50:16   50:15					44:3
15:6 21:17				,	understanding
26:7,9,13,22   30:9,14,15,19   supposed 28:11   36:17 43:3,4   47:18 48:11,17   34:20,23,23   42:21 51:2   supposed 28:11   36:17 43:3,4   47:18 48:11,17   34:20,23,23   45:16 47:1   sure 5:18 46:3,8   56:10   surprise 39:14   40:2,3   stuff 48:16   surrounding subject 7:17,23   15:17 18:21   18:22   submits 36:19   submits 36:19   submitted 41:11 58:20,22   subparagraph 23:19   subpart 50:8 subpart 50:8 subpart 50:8 subsequently 4:4   subsequently 4:4   subset 3:15   subtle 34:25   take 15: 26: 6   subset 3:15   subtle 34:25   take 3:25   takes 43:6 44:24   45:16   subtle 34:25   timely 3:14 8:25   timely 3:12 8:33   touch 41:25   transmute 7:8   t				,	40:7 47:13,14
30:9,14,15,19   31:22,23,23   32:20,20 34:10   36:17 43:3,4   47:18 48:11,17   34:20,23,23   45:16 47:1   49:13,13 51:3   56:10   53:11 56:16,21   57:3,4   40:2,3   56:10   42:17   51:17 18:21   29:8   submission 45:4   submit 29:5   submits 36:19   submitted 41:11 58:22   submits 36:19 subpart 50:8 subpart 50:8 subsequently 23:19 subset 3:15   subset 3:25   sub			,		<b>undo</b> 5:19 38:20
31:22,23,23   36:17 43:3,4   47:18 48:11,17   12:8,9 13:23   17:22   told 18:24   10:19 11:14,16   12:13   13:23 15:5   totally 19:2 36:3   10:19 11:14,16   10:19   10:14   10:19   10:14	, , ,			, ,	undone 4:5
32:20,20 34:10   34:20,23,23   45:16 47:1   sure 5:18 46:3,8   13:23 15:5   told 18:24   totally 19:2 36:3   touch 41:25   transmute 7:8   51:18,23 52:6   57:3,4   40:2,3   surrounding   42:17   susceptible   18:22   32:3   surrounding   42:17   susceptible   18:22   32:3   surrounding   42:17   susceptible   18:22   33:6,8 32:2,6,7   32:7 34:14   treatises 7:12   tremendous   47:18 48:11,17   29:8   switching 36:6   submission 45:4   submit 29:5   submit 29:5   submit 36:19   submitted 41:11   58:20,22   subparagraph   23:19   subpart 50:8   subparts 48:19   50:18   subparts 48:19   50:18   subsequently   4:4   subtle 34:25   take 31:5   subtle 34:25   takes 43:6 44:24   subtle 34:25   takes 43:6 44:24   subtle 34:25   takes 43:6 44:24   45:16   takes 43:6 44:24   takes 43:6 44:24   takes 43:6 44:24   takes 43:6 44:2				•	<b>undue</b> 3:18 9:9
34:20,23,23	' '	,	,		9:11,21 10:13
45:16 47:1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· /		10:19 11:14,16
49:13,13 51:3   56:10   surprise 39:14   40:2,3   24:5,12,22   transmute 7:8   52:9,13 55:21   57:3,4   40:2,3   29:23 30:6,22   Treasury 27:17   27:21   treatises 7:12   tre	, ,	<u> </u>			24:18 25:14
53:11 56:16,21   57:3,4					30:10 35:11
57:3,4     40:2,3     26:3 28:15     Travelers 29:2     52:9,13 55:21       sum 32:10     42:17     31:6,8 32:2,6,7     27:21     57:11     57:11       subject 7:17,23     susceptible     32:7 34:14     treatises 7:12     treemendous     6:19       15:17 18:21     18:22     35:16 37:5,13     tremendous     1:20 2:6 3:4       submission 45:4     switching 36:6     37:15,22 38:2     47:2     treemendous       33:5 41:7,21     43:19 49:7     T     T     T     39:16,19,19,21     true 21:16     unnecessary 9:5       submits 36:19     take 31:5 38:8     39:12 42:5,10     43:17,12     44:16,20 45:20     trustee 42:20     trustee 42:20     trustee 55:8     trustee 55:8     55:10     usually 12:12       subparagraph     23:19     take 15:9 35:21     46:7,10 47:6     Tuesday 1:10     turns 4:9     V	,				51:18,23 52:6
stuff 48:16     surrounding     42:17     31:6,8 32:2,6,7     Treasury 27:17     57:11       subject 7:17,23     susceptible     32:7 34:14     treatises 7:12     treemendous     6:19       15:17 18:21     18:22     35:16 37:5,13     treemendous     1:20 2:6 3:4       submission 45:4     switching 36:6     37:15,22 38:2     47:2     treemendous     1:20 2:6 3:4       submit 29:5     system 26:9     38:3,3,5,6,11     tried 44:15,16     17:23 33:9     unnecessary 9:5       33:5 41:7,21     T     T     39:16,19,19,21     trustee 42:20     unsect 56:1     upset 56:1       43:19 49:7     T     T2:1,1     39:12 42:5,10     43:17,712     44:16,20 45:20     trustee 42:20     upset 56:1     upset 56:1       submits 36:19     take 31:5 38:8     39:12 42:5,10     44:16,20 45:20     Truesday 1:10     usually 12:12       58:20,22     take 15:9 35:21     taken 15:9 35:21     46:7,10 47:6     Truesday 1:10     usually 12:12       subparts 48:19     16:7 23:6     thinks 25:6     8:24 15:15,24     valid 7:8     valid 7:8       subseque		_	, ,		52:9,13 55:21
sua 32:10     42:17     susceptible     31:6,8 32:2,6,7     27:21     unequivocal       15:17 18:21     18:22     35:16 37:5,13     treatises 7:12     tremendous       29:8     switching 36:6     37:15,22 38:2     47:2     1:20 2:6 3:4       submission 45:4     system 26:9     38:3,3,5,6,11     tried 44:15,16     17:23 33:9       submit 29:5     systems 47:3     38:12,12 39:8     tripart 9:2     unnecessary 9:5       33:5 41:7,21     T     39:16,19,19,21     trustee 42:20     trustee 42:20     upset 56:1       43:19 49:7     T 2:1,1     take 31:5 38:8     43:1,7,12     trustee 42:20     trustees 55:8     trustees 55:8     trustees 55:8     trustees 55:10     usually 12:12       subparagraph     48:9     44:20,21,22     44:20,51:2     46:7,10 47:6     Tuesday 1:10     vague 7:18       subpart 50:8     16:7 23:6     thinks 25:6     8:24 15:15,24     valid 7:8       subsequently     44:20,21,22     52:25     47:17     31:16 34:14     42:12 45:23       subset 3:15     taxes 43:6 44:24     37:10 42:12     49:4 51:14	<b>stuff</b> 48:16	surrounding	29:23 30:6,22	Treasury 27:17	57:11
15:17 18:21   29:8   switching 36:6   system 26:9   38:3,3,5,6,11   tried 44:15,16   17:23 33:9   submit 29:5   33:5 41:7,21   43:19 49:7   51:25 53:5   take 31:5 38:8   39:12 42:5,10   48:9   taken 15:9 35:21   talk 12:12 33:20   subparat 50:8   subpart 50:8   subparts 48:19	sua 32:10			_	
Submission 45:4   Submission 45:4   Submission 45:4   Submit 29:5   33:5 41:7,21   43:19 49:7   51:25 53:5   Submits 36:19   Submitted 41:11   58:20,22   Subparagraph 23:19   Subpart 50:8   Subparts 48:19   50:18   Subparts 48:19   50:18   Subsequently 4:4   4:4	<b>subject</b> 7:17,23	susceptible	32:7 34:14	treatises 7:12	6:19
submission 45:4     system 26:9     38:3,3,5,6,11     tried 44:15,16     17:23 33:9       33:5 41:7,21     33:5 41:7,21     39:16,19,19,21     true 21:16     upset 56:1       43:19 49:7     T     21:1,1     40:8,22 41:5,5     trustee 42:20     upsetting 55:9       submits 36:19     take 31:5 38:8     39:12 42:5,10     40:8,22 41:5,5     trustees 55:8     trustees	15:17 18:21	18:22	35:16 37:5,13	tremendous	
submit 29:5       33:5 41:7,21     43:19 49:7     39:16,19,19,21     tripart 9:2     true 21:16     trustee 42:20     trustee 42:20     trustee 42:20     trustee 42:20     trustee 42:20     trustee 55:8     trustee 55:1     trustee 55:1     trustee 55:1     trustee 55:1     upsetting 55:9     55:10     trustee 55:1     trustee 42:20     trustee 55:1     trustee 55:8     trustee 55:1     trustee 55:1 <td>29:8</td> <td>switching 36:6</td> <td>37:15,22 38:2</td> <td>47:2</td> <td></td>	29:8	switching 36:6	37:15,22 38:2	47:2	
33:5 41:7,21	submission 45:4	<b>system</b> 26:9	38:3,3,5,6,11	<b>tried</b> 44:15,16	
T   39:23,24,24   trustee 42:20   trustees 55:8   submits 36:19   take 31:5 38:8   39:12 42:5,10   48:9   taken 15:9 35:21   talk 12:12 33:20   subpart 50:8   subparts 48:19   50:18   subsequently	submit 29:5	systems 47:3	38:12,12 39:8	tripart 9:2	•
51:25 53:5   T 2:1,1   40:8,22 41:5,5   trustees 55:8   55:10     submits 36:19   take 31:5 38:8   43:1,7,12   trustees 55:8   trustees 55:8   trustees 55:8     submitted 41:11   58:20,22   48:9   44:16,20 45:20   trustees 55:8   trustees 55:8   trustees 55:8   V     subparagraph 23:19   taken 15:9 35:21   46:7,10 47:6   Tuesday 1:10   vague 7:18     subparts 48:19   44:20 51:2   56:2,11 57:16   two 3:21 4:6   valid 7:8     subsequently 4:4   4:4   4:20,21,22   44:17   31:16 34:14   Vallely 8:2     subset 3:15   taxes 43:6 44:24   45:16   44:4,11 45:13   49:4 51:14   version 34:8     subtle 34:25   45:16   44:4,11 45:13   57:13   version 34:8	33:5 41:7,21		39:16,19,19,21	<b>true</b> 21:16	
submits 36:19     take 31:5 38:8     43:1,7,12     try 41:22 55:1,1     usually 12:12       58:20,22     48:9     43:1,7,12     44:16,20 45:20     44:16,20 45:20     45:21,22,23     45:21,22,23     46:7,10 47:6     Tucson 1:22     V     V:6 3:5 38:6     V     V:6 3:5 38:6     Vague 7:18     Vague 7:18     15:18     Valid 7:8     Valid 7:8 <td>43:19 49:7</td> <td></td> <td>39:23,24,24</td> <td>trustee 42:20</td> <td></td>	43:19 49:7		39:23,24,24	trustee 42:20	
submitted 41:11     39:12 42:5,10     44:16,20 45:20     trying 25:5     V       subparagraph     taken 15:9 35:21     46:7,10 47:6     Tuesday 1:10     v 1:6 3:5 38:6       subpart 50:8     44:20 51:2     50:15,25 52:21     two 3:21 4:6     vague 7:18       subparts 48:19     16:7 23:6     thinks 25:6     8:24 15:15,24     valid 7:8       subsequently     44:20,21,22     47:17     31:16 34:14     valid 7:8       subset 3:15     taxes 43:6 44:24     45:16     47:17     49:4 51:14     38:11       subtle 34:25     45:16     44:4,11 45:13     57:13     visible 40:7	51:25 53:5	,	40:8,22 41:5,5	trustees 55:8	
58:20,22   48:9   45:21,22,23   Tucson 1:22   V     subparagraph   talk 12:12 33:20   46:7,10 47:6   Tuesday 1:10   vague 7:18     subpart 50:8   talking 12:13   56:2,11 57:16   two 3:21 4:6   valid 7:8     subsequently   4:4   4:20,21,22   third 3:16 43:18   18:5,14 30:20   Vallely 8:2     subset 3:15   taxes 43:6 44:24   45:16   44:4,11 45:13   49:4 51:14   version 34:8     subtle 34:25   45:16   44:4,11 45:13   57:13   version 34:8	<b>submits</b> 36:19		43:1,7,12	<b>try</b> 41:22 55:1,1	usually 12:12
s8:20,22     taken 15:9 35:21     45:21,22,23     Tucson 1:22     v 1:6 3:5 38:6       subpart 50:8     talk 12:12 33:20     44:20 51:2     56:2,11 57:16     two 3:21 4:6     vague 7:18       subparts 48:19     talking 12:13     thinks 25:6     thinks 25:6     thinks 25:6     thinks 25:16     thinks 25:16     Vallely 8:2       subsequently 4:4     4:4     52:25     thought 35:4     42:12 45:23     42:12 45:23     verification 38:11       subset 3:15     taxes 43:6 44:24     45:16     44:4,11 45:13     57:13     version 34:8       subtle 34:25     45:16     45:16     45:16     45:16     45:16     45:16	submitted 41:11		44:16,20 45:20	trying 25:5	
Subpart 50:8   Subpart 50:8   Subpart 50:18   Subsequently	,				
subpart 50:8 44:20 51:2 56:2,11 57:16 two 3:21 4:6 valid 7:8   subparts 48:19 16:7 23:6 thinks 25:6 8:24 15:15,24 Vallely 8:2   subsequently 44:20,21,22 47:17 31:16 34:14 Vallely 8:2   subset 3:15 taxes 43:6 44:24 45:16 47:17 49:4 51:14 verification   subtle 34:25 45:16 44:4,11 45:13 57:13 version 34:8	subparagraph		,		
subparts 48:19 talking 12:13 thinks 25:6 thinks 25:1 thinks 25:6 thinks 25:1 <th< td=""><td>23:19</td><td></td><td>50:15,25 52:21</td><td></td><td>0</td></th<>	23:19		50:15,25 52:21		0
subparts 46.19   table 36.19   third 3:16 43:18   8.24 13:13,24   Vallely 8:2     subsequently 4:4   44:20,21,22   47:17   31:16 34:14   verification 38:11     subset 3:15   taxes 43:6 44:24   45:16   47:17   49:4 51:14   version 34:8     subtle 34:25   45:16   44:4,11 45:13   57:13   version 34:8	•		,		
subsequently 44:20,21,22 47:17 31:16 34:14 15:20   subset 3:15 taxes 43:6 44:24 45:16 47:17 42:12 45:23 49:4 51:14 verification   subtle 34:25 45:16 44:4,11 45:13 57:13 version 34:8	•	O		· ·	
4:4   52:25   thought 35:4   42:12 45:23   verification 38:11     subset 3:15   taxes 43:6 44:24   45:16   42:12 45:13   49:4 51:14   verification 38:11     subtle 34:25   45:16   44:4,11 45:13   57:13   verification 38:11					
subset 3:15 taxes 43:6 44:24 37:10 42:12 49:4 51:14 38:11   subtle 34:25 45:16 44:4,11 45:13 57:13					
subtle 34:25 45:16 44:4,11 45:13 57:13 version 34:8			_		
Subtle 54.25 77.15 77.15 wieble 40.7					
suggesting Taylor 38:6 three 3:11,20 twofold 19:20 viable 49:7					
	suggesting	Taylor 38:6	three 3:11,20	twofold 19:20	<b>viable</b> 49: /
			l	l	l

11.5.6	1 1 1 2 7 1 0	20.22	1 21 21 24 10 20	22 4 10 16
view 11:5,6	want 4:13 7:19	20:22	31:21 34:10,20	<b>3</b> 2:4 18:16
20:16	9:13 12:14	wrap 17:19	40:14 42:18	<b>350</b> 28:5
<b>violate</b> 27:9	21:4 32:13	writing 13:25	50:3 53:1 55:8	<b>374,000</b> 26:17
29:19 35:12	33:25 34:12	written 6:18	55:23	28:4
violated 15:11	38:24 42:23	wrong 5:8,13	<b>13,000</b> 16:20	<b>39</b> 37:9
37:12	43:9	29:16 31:6,18	<b>13.02</b> 42:20	4
violates 16:12	wanted 30:7	33:13 34:15	<b>1322</b> 17:16	
violation 15:14	37:19	40:6 47:24,24	<b>1325</b> 17:16	<b>4</b> 8:23,24 23:19
void 5:22,24 7:1	wants 6:19 9:17	X	<b>1327</b> 16:16,18	23:22
7:1,3,7,8,9,13	25:4 57:25		17:9,13 40:15	<b>453</b> 25:20
12:7 13:4	warranted	<b>x</b> 1:2,8	<b>1328</b> 4:10,23	<b>454</b> 25:20
15:12,12 16:11	36:23	Y	10:7 14:10,13	<b>46</b> 56:22
16:16 21:3	Washington 1:9	year 26:18 28:4	16:14,17 17:16	5
22:24 39:20,20	1:19	30:4	18:2,16 19:23	55:20
39:21,22 40:25	wasn't 4:14 7:17	years 5:20,20	19:25 41:23	<b>523</b> 3:20 8:20,21
41:4,14,20,22	16:23 22:20	7:2 12:7 13:3	50:2,8 57:16	9:9 10:1 14:17
49:3	way 17:4 25:2	15:9 20:11	58:17	14:23 15:4
voidable 8:19	31:13 32:3	21:1 22:24	<b>1328(a)</b> 14:19	17:16 18:2
voidance 43:21	34:22 36:25	24:9 25:24	18:9 19:16	25:8 50:6 56:4
voided 39:6	37:1,16,22,25	37:9,19 42:13	49:19	56:8,12
Voidness 6:6	41:25 46:11	43:22,23	<b>1328(a)(2)</b> 14:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<b></b>	47:9	43.22,23	14:22,22,25	<b>523(a)</b> 14:19 15:1,2 16:17
<u>W</u>	weaseling 35:15	\$	56:7,14	18:11 56:10
wait 20:22 43:21	weren't 22:18	<b>\$4,000</b> 35:12	<b>1328(b)</b> 14:20	
waited 48:2	22:22 47:20	Ψ-,000 33.12	15:2 50:13	<b>523(a)(8)</b> 25:14 31:15 41:24
waiting 24:6	we're 15:10	0	56:4	49:10
25:24	whatsoever	<b>08-1134</b> 1:6 3:4	<b>1330</b> 48:23 54:7	523(c) 8:20 21:9
waive 9:6,9 14:4	19:21 31:17		<b>14</b> 20:4,9 22:16	` ′
waiver 13:25	55:18 56:2	1	23:9,14	23:6,9,16
33:1,14,15	<b>willing</b> 26:11	<b>1</b> 1:10 19:17	<b>15</b> 21:1	523(8)(a) 24:24 528(a)(8) 40:10
44:18,19 45:22	32:16,17 33:17	<b>1,000</b> 28:6 30:3	<b>16</b> 33:9	<b>528(a)(8)</b> 49:10 <b>55</b> 2:12
Wanslee 1:16	38:19	<b>10</b> 12:7 13:3	<b>17</b> 2:7	35 2:12
2:3,11 3:6,7,9	win 38:25 47:25	20:10 24:9	<b>17,000</b> 16:21	6
4:9,17,22 5:10	48:1	<b>105</b> 32:8 33:5	<b>18</b> 19:3	<b>6</b> 5:20 8:23,24
5:23 6:17 7:6	winning 38:14	<b>11</b> 19:24 29:3	<b>19</b> 6:19 56:16	23:19,22
7:25 8:18 9:8	wishes 28:18	34:9 35:13,19	<b>1990</b> 15:6	<b>60</b> 7:6 39:3,7,9
9:18,25 10:6	wondering	36:16 37:2,7	<b>1992</b> 15:7	39:13 43:25
10:10,15,18,24	40:18	37:10,13		45:7 48:13,19
11:2,6,13,22	word 31:11	<b>11:04</b> 1:14 3:2	2	50:19 54:10
12:8,17 13:12	words 6:1 18:25	<b>12</b> 19:24 43:23	<b>2</b> 8:23 15:9	<b>60(b)</b> 39:20
13:17 14:2,8	work 48:17	<b>12:06</b> 58:21	23:19,22	43:22 47:15
14:13,21 15:3	working 55:2	<b>13</b> 4:25 13:13	<b>20</b> 25:24	48:6 54:6
16:7 17:6,20	works 25:10	15:7 19:22	<b>2009</b> 1:10	<b>60(b)(4)</b> 20:10
55:13,15,17	47:15	20:25 22:15,24	<b>25a</b> 27:14	20:18 48:6,13
56:7,14 57:5	worry 43:25	23:9,14 26:17	<b>28</b> 2:9	48:15,24,25
57:10,15,21	worth 38:14	26:19,22 27:2	3	<b>60(b)(6)</b> 48:7
58:4,7,14	<b>wouldn't</b> 20:18	28:6,9 30:4		υυ( <i>υ)</i> (υ <i>)</i> 40.7

7			
<b>7</b> 5:20,20 19:23 <b>7001</b> 11:7			
7001 11:7			
8			
<b>8</b> 5:7 14:16			
<b>8</b> 3:7 14:10			
9			
90 7:2			
<b>9011</b> 35:8,13,19			
7011 33.0,13,17			
	•	•	